



# Research Techniques Made Simple: Transepidermal Water Loss Measurement as a Research Tool

Helen Alexander<sup>1</sup>, Sara Brown<sup>2</sup>, Simon Danby<sup>3</sup> and Carsten Flohr<sup>1</sup>

Transepidermal water loss (TEWL) is the most widely used objective measurement for assessing the barrier function of skin in healthy individuals but also patients with skin diseases that are associated with skin barrier dysfunction, such as atopic dermatitis. TEWL is the quantity of condensed water that diffuses across a fixed area of stratum corneum to the skin surface per unit time. The water evaporating from the skin is measured using a probe that is placed in contact with the skin surface and contains sensors that detect changes in water vapor density. TEWL can be measured using an open-chamber, unventilated-chamber, or condenser-chamber device. It is a sensitive measure that is affected by properties of the surrounding microclimate such as environmental humidity, temperature, and airflow and should be measured under controlled conditions. TEWL varies significantly across different anatomical sites and also depends on sweat gland activity, skin temperature, and corneocyte properties. Here we describe how to optimally use TEWL measurements as a skin research tool *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

*Journal of Investigative Dermatology* (2018) **138**, 2295–2300; doi:10.1016/j.jid.2018.09.001

**CME Activity Dates:** 19 October 2018

Expiration Date: 18 October 2019

Estimated Time to Complete: 1 hour

**Planning Committee/Speaker Disclosure:** All authors, planning committee members, CME committee members and staff involved with this activity as content validation reviewers have no financial relationships with commercial interests to disclose relative to the content of this CME activity.

**Commercial Support Acknowledgment:** This CME activity is supported by an educational grant from Lilly USA, LLC.

**Description:** This article, designed for dermatologists, residents, fellows, and related healthcare providers, seeks to reduce the growing divide between dermatology clinical practice and the basic science/current research methodologies on which many diagnostic and therapeutic advances are built.

**Objectives:** At the conclusion of this activity, learners should be better able to:

- Recognize the newest techniques in biomedical research.
- Describe how these techniques can be utilized and their limitations.
- Describe the potential impact of these techniques.

**CME Accreditation and Credit Designation:** This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the accreditation requirements and policies of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education through the joint providership of Beaumont Health and the Society for Investigative Dermatology. Beaumont Health is accredited by the ACCME to provide continuing medical education for physicians. Beaumont Health designates this enduring material for a maximum of 1.0 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s)*<sup>™</sup>. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

**Method of Physician Participation in Learning Process:** The content can be read from the Journal of Investigative Dermatology website: <http://www.jidonline.org/current>. Tests for CME credits may only be submitted online at <https://beaumont.cloud-cme.com/RTMS-Nov18> – click ‘CME on Demand’ and locate the article to complete the test. Fax or other copies will not be accepted. To receive credits, learners must review the CME accreditation information; view the entire article, complete the post-test with a minimum performance level of 60%; and complete the online evaluation form in order to claim CME credit. The CME credit code for this activity is: 21310. For questions about CME credit email [cme@beaumont.edu](mailto:cme@beaumont.edu).

## INTRODUCTION

The outer layer of the epidermis, the stratum corneum (SC), contributes to skin barrier properties and has many protective functions (Elias, 2008), including contribution to the control of transcutaneous water loss. The movement of water across the SC is primarily controlled by flattened corneocytes

surrounded by hydrophobic bilamellar lipids including ceramides, cholesterol, and free fatty acids. The permeability barrier function of the skin is critical, and its impairment leads to downstream signals that aim to restore barrier homeostasis.

Transepidermal water loss (TEWL) measurement is the most widely used objective measurement for assessing the barrier

<sup>1</sup>Unit for Population-Based Dermatology Research, St John's Institute of Dermatology, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, London, UK; <sup>2</sup>Skin Research Group, School of Medicine, Ninewells Hospital & Medical School, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK; and <sup>3</sup>Sheffield Dermatology Research, Department of Infection, Immunity & Cardiovascular Disease, The Medical School, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

Correspondence: Helen Alexander, Unit for Population-Based Dermatology Research, St John's Institute of Dermatology, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, London SE1 7EH, UK. E-mail: [helen.2.alexander@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:helen.2.alexander@kcl.ac.uk)

Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; SC, stratum corneum; TEWL, transepidermal water loss

### SUMMARY POINTS

- TEWL measurement is used to objectively assess the barrier function of the skin in vivo and in vitro.
- Skin diseases in which the skin barrier is disturbed, such as atopic dermatitis (AD), contact dermatitis, and psoriasis, are associated with elevated TEWL.
- TEWL can be measured using an open-chamber device, an unventilated-chamber device, or a condenser-chamber device.
- TEWL is affected by properties of the surrounding microclimate such as environmental humidity, temperature, and airflow and should be measured under controlled conditions.

function of the skin (Fluhr et al., 2006). TEWL measures the quantity of water lost from inside the body by diffusion across the SC. Skin barrier dysfunction results in increased TEWL. Skin diseases in which the skin barrier is disturbed, such as atopic dermatitis (AD), contact dermatitis, psoriasis, and ichthyoses, are associated with elevated TEWL.

TEWL as a measure of skin water barrier status has been validated in both humans and mice by correlating TEWL with absolute water loss determined gravimetrically (Fluhr et al., 2006). In addition to gauging water barrier function, in vivo TEWL measurements consistently correlate with the percutaneous absorption of topically applied compounds (Levin and Maibach, 2005). As such, TEWL measurements can be seen as an indirect measure of skin permeability (both inside to outside and outside to inside), which is a function of skin barrier status. A stronger skin barrier, characterized by larger surface corneocytes, an increased number of corneocyte layers (increased path length across the SC), and/or improved inter-corneocyte lamellar lipid matrices are linked to reduced TEWL (Damien and Boncheva, 2010). The molecular organization of the SC extracellular lipid matrix into a highly ordered lamellar bilayer structure is an important determinant of TEWL (Elias, 2008). The proportion of SC composed of alpha-phase lipid bilayers inversely correlates with TEWL. Changes in the lipid matrix structure induced by environmental factors such as temperature and humidity may therefore be responsible for the differences in TEWL observed under these conditions (Damien and Boncheva, 2010).

### TEWL MEASUREMENT

TEWL is not measured directly, but inferred from measuring the change (or flux) in water vapor density at the skin surface compared with a point farther away from the skin (Nilsson, 1977). If water loss across the SC were zero, then the humidity in the air adjacent to the skin surface would be the same as ambient humidity. As water loss across the SC increases, the humidity next to the skin surface rises above ambient humidity. This creates a humidity gradient above the skin surface that is proportional to the SC water loss (Imhof et al., 2009). Water vapor density measurements are taken

over a fixed area of SC in a fixed time period, and the units for TEWL are stated as grams of water per square meter per hour ( $\text{g} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ ).

TEWL can be measured using an open-chamber device, an unventilated-chamber device, or a condenser-chamber device. Because of the sensitivity and variability in measurement of TEWL, usually three or more readings are taken to calculate a mean value.

### TEWL DEVICES

Open-chamber devices consist of a hollow cylinder that is placed in contact with the skin (Nilsson, 1977). Water vapor from the skin surface diffuses through the chamber and out into the ambient atmosphere. The humidity gradient is calculated from temperature and relative humidity readings from two sensors that are fixed at different distances from the skin surface (Figure 1a). An advantage of open-chamber devices is that they do not occlude the skin and therefore leave the cutaneous microclimate relatively undisturbed. One of their major limitations, however, is that they are vulnerable to environmental influences, such as disturbance from ambient air movements.


Unventilated-chamber devices consist of a chamber with a closed upper end, which protects from ambient air movement disturbances. Water vapor from the skin surface collects in the chamber, causing the humidity to rise with time. Sensors in the chamber measure the rate of increase in relative humidity (Figure 1b). This method requires the chamber to be lifted from the skin after every reading to allow the accumulated water vapor to escape. These devices therefore cannot be used for continuous TEWL measurement.

The more recently developed condenser-chamber device has become increasingly used, because it provides a dynamic reading of the transepidermal water loss (Imhof et al., 2009). The upper end of the chamber is closed by a condenser that is cooled below the freezing point of water. The condenser removes water vapor from the chamber, enabling continuous measurements to be made without the need to interrupt the measurement to allow the water vapor to escape. The condenser also controls the microclimate within the chamber by protecting from ambient air movement and controlling the humidity. The water vapor density is measured in a similar way to open chamber devices by separately spaced sensors in the chamber (Figure 1c).

A number of studies have compared the performance of different TEWL devices and found that results show good correlation (Farahmand et al., 2009; Fluhr et al., 2006). However, a small comparative study of an open-chamber system with an unventilated-chamber system and a condenser-chamber system found that the condenser-chamber system was the only device that could detect the effect of tape-stripping on TEWL and the only device that could discriminate between the effects of moisturizer and petrolatum on skin barrier integrity (Farahmand et al., 2009), suggesting that the condenser-chamber method gives greater sensitivity.

### FACTORS AFFECTING TEWL

TEWL has been shown to vary significantly at different anatomical sites within an individual (Kottner et al., 2013).



**Figure 1. TEWL devices.** (a) Open-chamber TEWL device. A hollow cylinder is placed in contact with the skin, and water vapor diffuses through the open chamber. Spatially separated temperature and relative humidity sensors detect the humidity gradient. (b) Unventilated-chamber TEWL device. The upper end of the chamber is closed, resulting in water vapor collecting in the chamber. The temperature and relative humidity sensors detect the rate of increase of relative humidity. (c) Condenser-chamber TEWL device. The upper end of the chamber is closed by a condenser that removes water vapor from the chamber, enabling continuous TEWL measurements to be recorded. Water vapor density is measured by sensors in the chamber and condenser. TEWL, transepidermal water loss.

TEWL is high at the palms, soles, axillae, and forehead and low at the calf and forearm. The increased TEWL at sites such as the palms and soles is linked to the low sebaceous lipid content at these sites (Brancaleon et al., 2001). Regional differences in TEWL may also be due to differences in sweat gland activity, occlusion, skin temperature, thickness, and microvasculature as well as corneocyte size, maturity, and shedding. In adults, some studies suggest that TEWL decreases with age but others have found no association between TEWL and age (Kottner et al., 2013; Zouboulis et al., 2018). Some studies have found TEWL differences in different ethnic groups. For instance, TEWL is higher in black and Asian skin compared with Caucasian skin (Kompaore et al., 1993). Skin care practices also affect TEWL. Detergents such as sodium lauryl sulfate can damage the skin barrier and lead to increased TEWL, whereas emollients transiently occlude the skin and reduce TEWL (Danby et al., 2016). Skin surface temperature and sweating additionally alter TEWL (Pinnagoda et al., 1990). Studies have also shown seasonal variation in TEWL and that TEWL is affected by circadian rhythm and sun exposure (Le Fur et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2010).

### TEWL MEASUREMENT IN VIVO

Guidelines have been developed to help control external factors affecting TEWL in research studies and achieve consistency and accuracy (Pinnagoda et al., 1990; Rogiers and EEMCO Group, 2001). The selection of the skin area to be tested is important, and the volar forearm is the site used most often for dermatological studies. There should be an interval of at least 12 hours between application of topical skin products and TEWL measurement and at least 2 hours between skin washing and TEWL measurement. A room of temperature 18–21 °C and relative humidity of 40%–60% should be used and direct light avoided. Subjects should acclimatize to the environment for 20–30 minutes before TEWL measurement. TEWL measurements should ideally be taken at the same time of day and during the same season, avoiding the summer months.

Calibration of TEWL instruments is essential and depends on the device and manufacturer (Imhof et al., 2009; Pinnagoda et al., 1990). Because of the differences in TEWL measurement devices and study designs, there is a lack of consensus regarding reference TEWL values. It is therefore

recommended that baseline TEWL measurements be recorded and that results be interpreted as a relative change (Rogiers and EEMCO Group, 2001).


In addition to the use of basal TEWL to assess the undisturbed permeability of the skin barrier, TEWL measurements conducted in conjunction with controlled skin barrier perturbation by tape-stripping are used to measure skin barrier integrity (Danby et al., 2011). Tape-stripping is a procedure where the uppermost layers of corneocytes are peeled away from the surface using standardized adhesive discs, such as D-Squame discs (CuDerm, TX). Where the skin displays reduced structural integrity, tape-stripping removes more corneocytes, leading to a more rapid disruption of the skin barrier and, consequently, a sharper increase in TEWL with each consecutive stripping. Initially, healthy skin is fairly insensitive to tape-stripping, showing the capacity of the skin to withstand mild perturbation. Disrupted skin and skin with a low structural integrity exhibit greater changes in TEWL, underpinning the increased sensitivity of the technique. The area under the curve for TEWL measurements made over a defined number of tape strippings can be used to reflect the overall integrity of the SC (Figure 2). Quantifying the amount of protein removed by each tape-strip disc can similarly be used to reflect the cohesiveness of the SC (Danby et al., 2016). Combination of the TEWL and protein data can be used to estimate the thickness of the SC by using Fick's first law of diffusion (Bashir et al., 2001).

The measurement of skin barrier recovery rates after barrier impairment can show skin barrier differences that are not seen with basal TEWL measurement alone. For instance, aging and stress lead to delayed skin barrier recovery, whereas darkly pigmented skin, independent of race, recovers more quickly after tape stripping than lightly pigmented skin (Ghadially et al., 1995; Muizzuddin et al., 2003; Reed et al., 1995). Barrier recovery kinetics can also be used to assess response to topical treatments and to identify the metabolic processes that maintain a functioning skin barrier (Feingold, 2009).

### TEWL MEASUREMENT IN VITRO

TEWL has also been used as a quantitative parameter to assess skin barrier integrity and function in explanted skin (Döge et al., 2017; Sundaram et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018) and skin barrier formation in cultured skin models

## RESEARCH TECHNIQUES MADE SIMPLE



**Figure 2. TEWL measurement in conjunction with controlled skin barrier perturbation by tape-stripping is used to measure skin barrier integrity in atopic dermatitis research.** The area under the curve for TEWL measurements made over a defined number of tape strippings can be used to reflect the overall integrity of the stratum corneum. AD, atopic dermatitis; AUC, area under the curve; TEWL, transepidermal water loss.

(Nolte et al., 1993) and epidermal models (Hatano et al., 2005; Kuntsche et al., 2008) in vitro.

TEWL may be measured on cultured samples directly (Biox Systems, London, UK; <https://www.biox.biz/Products/ProductDetails.php>), or after mounting in a Franz cell (Tewitro TW24; Courage+Khazaka Electronic, Cologne, Germany; <http://www.courage-khazaka.de/index.php/en/products/scientific/382-tewitro-e>), or with adaptation to allow multiple wells to be measured simultaneously (Tewitro TW24). TEWL measurement has been shown to directly correlate with the measurement of tritiated water flux and to be a safer and more user-friendly measurement (Elmahjoubi et al., 2009).


One advantage of TEWL measurement in vitro is that it is less sensitive to the variable of water loss by sweating that occurs in vivo, but repeated measurements show variation as the sample equilibrates to ambient temperature and humidity outside the tissue culture incubator, so equilibration time should be standardized across replicated experiments.

The absolute TEWL measurement shows variability between replicate experiments, and the different models give different TEWL measurements (Figure 3), reflecting in part the different distances between water source (media and/or dermis) and the epidermal surface. Direct comparisons between experimental measurements are therefore not appropriate, and it is important to include within-experiment controls for comparison.

### CLINICAL APPLICATIONS OF TEWL MEASUREMENT

Skin barrier dysfunction and increased TEWL are major pathologic features of AD (Elias, 2008; Flohr et al., 2010). TEWL is used as a research tool to objectively assess skin barrier function, and it can be robustly correlated with the severity of AD and response to treatment, leading to the inclusion of the parameter in some AD severity scores (Chamlin et al., 2002; Pinnagoda et al., 1990; Rogiers and EEMCO Group, 2001; Sugarman et al., 2003). Filaggrin is a key component of the epidermal skin barrier, and up to 50%

of patients with moderate to severe AD are heterozygous for one of the filaggrin gene (*FLG*) loss-of-function mutations (Baurecht et al., 2007). Studies have shown that at birth, there is no difference in TEWL between *FLG* mutation and *FLG* wild-type groups (Horimukai et al., 2016; Kelleher et al., 2015). However at 2 months, 3 months, and 6 months of age, those carrying an *FLG* mutation have a significantly higher TEWL than those without (Flohr et al., 2010; Kelleher et al., 2015). TEWL was found to be elevated in infants with *FLG*-null mutations even without clinical AD, suggesting that skin barrier impairment may precede the clinical manifestation of AD. Kelleher et al. and Horimukai et al. have shown



**Figure 3. In vitro TEWL measurement from isolated human epidermis and rat epidermal keratinocyte organotypic cell culture epidermis (ROC).** This TEWL measurement was used to determine the occlusive properties of lipid nanoparticle formulations (MCT, D116 [Dynasan 116, Huls and Sasol, Germany], CM/CN [CM; ICN, USA] [CN; Acros, Pittsburgh PA], GMO [Eastman, Miami, FLA]) (n = 3–4) (reprinted from Kuntsche et al., 2008 with permission). MCT, medium chain triglycerides; D116, tripalmitate; CM, cholesteryl myristate; CN, cholesteryl nonanoate; GMO, glycerol monooleate; TEWL, transepidermal water loss.

## MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

1. How is TEWL measured?
  - A. By measuring the volume of water on the surface of the skin
  - B. By measuring absolute water loss from the skin gravimetrically
  - C. By measuring relative humidity and temperature at the skin surface to calculate the change in water vapor density
  - D. By measuring evaporation of water from the skin to the atmosphere
2. What advantages do condenser-chamber TEWL devices have over unventilated-chamber and open-chamber devices?
  - A. Water can diffuse out of the chamber into the atmosphere.
  - B. Continuous TEWL measurements can be made, and disturbance from ambient air movements is minimized.
  - C. The chamber is closed, allowing water vapor to accumulate in the chamber.
  - D. Individual TEWL measurements can be made faster.
3. What are the suggested conditions for TEWL measurement?
  - A. Room temperature of 18–21 °C, relative humidity of 40%–60%, and direct light; subjects should acclimatize to the environment for 20–30 minutes before TEWL measurement.
  - B. Room temperature of 18–21 °C, relative humidity of 20%–30%, and avoid direct light; subjects should acclimatize to the environment for 20–30 minutes before TEWL measurement.
  - C. Room temperature of 18–21 °C, relative humidity of 20%–30%, and avoid direct light; take measurement as soon as subject enters the testing environment.
  - D. Room temperature of 18–21 °C, relative humidity of 40%–60%, and avoid direct light; subjects should acclimatize to the environment for 20–30 minutes before TEWL measurement.
4. Which body regions have the highest TEWL?
  - A. Palms, soles, axillae, and forehead
  - B. Calves and forearms
  - C. Antecubital fossae
  - D. Abdomen, chest, and back

5. Which statement is true regarding TEWL in AD?
  - A. At 3 months of age, *FLG* mutation-carrying infants do not have increased TEWL.
  - B. TEWL is increased at birth in *FLG* mutation-carrying neonates compared with *FLG*–wild-type neonates.
  - C. TEWL is not a parameter in any AD severity scores.
  - D. TEWL measured during the first days of life can predict the development of AD in infancy.

See online version of this article for a detailed explanation of correct answers.

that TEWL measured during the first days of life can predict the development of AD in infancy, independent of *FLG* status. These findings suggest that TEWL could potentially be used to identify neonates at increased risk of AD and help guide prevention strategies—for instance, with regular emollient application.

## CONCLUSIONS

TEWL is a research tool that enables objective and noninvasive measurement of one aspect of skin barrier function in dermatological research. TEWL elevation is a hallmark of AD and may precede clinical manifestation of the disease, suggesting that TEWL measurement may be useful in guiding AD prevention strategies.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors state no conflict of interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SJB holds a Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellowship in Clinical Science (106865/Z/15/Z). CF is funded through a UK National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Career Development Fellowship (CDF-2014-07-037) and also supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre based at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. He has received investigator-led research funding from Sanofi. His department has received clinical trial funding from Sanofi and AbbVie to test novel therapeutics in paediatric atopic eczema patients. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the UK National Health Service, the UK Department of Health or the National Institute of Health Research.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is linked to this paper. Teaching slides are available as supplementary material.

## REFERENCES

- Bashir SJ, Chew AL, Anigbogu A, Dreher F, Maibach HI. Physical and physiological effects of stratum corneum tape stripping. *Skin Res Technol* 2001;7:40–8.
- Baurecht H, Irvine AD, Novak N, Illig T, Buhler B, Ring J, et al. Toward a major risk factor for atopic eczema: meta-analysis of filaggrin polymorphism data. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007;120:1406–12.
- Brancaleon L, Bamberg MP, Sakamaki T, Kollias N. Attenuated total reflection-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy as a possible method

## RESEARCH TECHNIQUES MADE SIMPLE

- to investigate biophysical parameters of stratum corneum in vivo. *J Invest Dermatol* 2001;116:380–6.
- Chamlin SL, Kao J, Frieden IJ, Sheu MY, Fowler AJ, Fluhr JW, et al. Ceramide-dominant barrier repair lipids alleviate childhood atopic dermatitis: changes in barrier function provide a sensitive indicator of disease activity. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2002;47:198–208.
- Damien F, Boncheva M. The extent of orthorhombic lipid phases in the stratum corneum determines the barrier efficiency of human skin in vivo. *J Invest Dermatol* 2010;130:611–4.
- Danby SG, Al-Enezi T, Sultan A, Chittock J, Kennedy K, Cork MJ. The effect of aqueous cream BP on the skin barrier in volunteers with a previous history of atopic dermatitis. *Br J Dermatol* 2011;165:329–34.
- Danby SG, Chalmers J, Brown K, Williams HC, Cork MJ. A functional mechanistic study of the effect of emollients on the structure and function of the skin barrier. *Br J Dermatol* 2016;175:1011–9.
- Döge N, Avetisyan A, Hadam S, Pfannes EKB, Rancan F, Blume-Peytavi U, et al. Assessment of skin barrier function and biochemical changes of ex vivo human skin in response to physical and chemical barrier disruption. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* 2017;116:138–48.
- Elias PM. Skin barrier function. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2008;8:299–305.
- Elmahjoubi E, Frum Y, Eccleston GM, Wilkinson SC, Meidan VM. Trans-epidermal water loss for probing full-thickness skin barrier function: correlation with tritiated water flux, sensitivity to punctures and diverse surfactant exposures. *Toxicol In Vitro* 2009;23:1429–35.
- Farahmand S, Tien L, Hui X, Maibach HI. Measuring transepidermal water loss: A comparative in vivo study of condenser-chamber, unventilated-chamber and open-chamber systems. *Ski Res Technol* 2009;15:392–8.
- Feingold KR. The outer frontier: the importance of lipid metabolism in the skin. *J Lipid Res* 2009;50(Suppl):S417–22.
- Flohr C, England K, Radulovic S, McLean WHI, Campbell LE, Barker J, et al. Filaggrin loss-of-function mutations are associated with early-onset eczema, eczema severity and transepidermal water loss at 3 months of age. *Br J Dermatol* 2010;163:1333–6.
- Fluhr JW, Feingold KR, Elias PM. Transepidermal water loss reflects permeability barrier status: validation in human and rodent in vivo and ex vivo models. *Exp Dermatol* 2006;15:483–92.
- Ghadially R, Brown BE, Sequeira-Martin SM, Feingold KR, Elias PM. The aged epidermal permeability barrier. Structural, functional, and lipid biochemical abnormalities in humans and a senescent murine model. *J Clin Invest* 1995;95:2281–90.
- Hatano Y, Terashi H, Arakawa S, Katagiri K. Interleukin-4 suppresses the enhancement of ceramide synthesis and cutaneous permeability barrier functions induced by tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interferon-gamma in human epidermis. *J Invest Dermatol* 2005;124:786–92.
- Horimukai K, Morita K, Narita M, Kondo M, Kabashima S, Inoue E, et al. Transepidermal water loss measurement during infancy can predict the subsequent development of atopic dermatitis regardless of filaggrin mutations. *Allergol Int* 2016;65:103–8.
- Imhof RE, De Jesus MEP, Xiao P, Ciorte LI, Berg EP. Closed-chamber transepidermal water loss measurement: microclimate, calibration and performance. *Int J Cosmet Sci* 2009;31:97–118.
- Kelleher M, Dunn-Galvin A, Hourihane JOB, Murray D, Campbell LE, McLean WHI, et al. Skin barrier dysfunction measured by transepidermal water loss at 2 days and 2 months predates and predicts atopic dermatitis at 1 year. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015;135:930–35.e1.
- Kompaore F, Marty JP, Dupont C. In vivo evaluation of the stratum corneum barrier function in blacks, Caucasians and Asians with two noninvasive methods. *Skin Pharmacol* 1993;6:200–7.
- Kottner J, Lichterfeld A, Blume-Peytavi U. Transepidermal water loss in young and aged healthy humans: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Dermatol Res* 2013;305:315–23.
- Kuntsche J, Bunjes H, Fahr A, Pappinen S, Ronkko S, Suhonen M, et al. Interaction of lipid nanoparticles with human epidermis and an organotypic cell culture model. *Int J Pharm* 2008;354(1–2):180–95.
- Le Fur J, Reinberg A, Lopez S, Morizot F, Mechakouri M, Tschachler E. Analysis of circadian and ultradian rhythms of skin surface properties of face and forearm of healthy women. *J Invest Dermatol* 2001;117:718–24.
- Levin J, Maibach H. The correlation between transepidermal water loss and percutaneous absorption: an overview. *J Control Release* 2005;103:291–9.
- Liu Z, Fluhr JW, Song SP, Sun Z, Wang H, Shi YJ, et al. Sun-induced changes in stratum corneum function are gender and dose dependent in a Chinese population. *Skin Pharmacol Physiol* 2010;23:313–9.
- Muizzuddin N, Matsui MS, Marenus KD, Maes DH. Impact of stress of marital dissolution on skin barrier recovery: tape stripping and measurement of trans-epidermal water loss (TEWL). *Skin Res Technol* 2003;9:34–8.
- Nilsson GE. Measurement of water exchange through skin. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 1977;15:209–18.
- Nolte CJ, Oleson MA, Bilbo PR, Parenteau NL. Development of a stratum corneum and barrier function in an organotypic skin culture. *Arch Dermatol Res* 1993;285:466–74.
- Pinnagoda J, Tupker RA, Agner T, Serup AJ. Guidelines for transepidermal water loss (TEWL) measurement. *Contact Dermatitis* 1990;22:164–78.
- Reed JT, Ghadially R, Elias PM. Skin type, but neither race nor gender, influence epidermal permeability barrier function. *Arch Dermatol* 1995;131:1134–8.
- Rogiers V, EEMCO Group. EEMCO guidance for the assessment of transepidermal water loss in cosmetic sciences. *Skin Pharmacol Appl Skin Physiol* 2001;14:117–28.
- Sugarman J, Fluhr J, Fowler A, Bruckner T, Diepgen TL, Williams ML. The Objective Severity Assessment of Atopic Dermatitis Score. *Arch Dermatol* 2003;139:1417–22.
- Sundaram H, Mackiewicz N, Burton E, Peno-Mazzarino L, Lati E, Meunier S. Pilot comparative study of the topical action of a novel, crosslinked resilient hyaluronic acid on skin hydration and barrier function in a dynamic, three-dimensional human explant model. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2016;15:434–41.
- Zhang Q, Murawsky M, LaCount T, Kasting GB, Li SK. Transepidermal water loss and skin conductance as barrier integrity tests. *Toxicol In Vitro* 2018;51:129–35.
- Zouboulis CC, Elewa R, Ottaviani M, Fluhr J, Picardo M, Bernois A, et al. Age influences the skin reaction pattern to mechanical stress and its repair level through skin care products. *Mech Ageing Dev* 2018;170:98–105.

### DETAILED ANSWERS

#### 1. How is TEWL measured?

**Correct answer: C. By measuring relative humidity and temperature at the skin surface to calculate the change in water vapor density**

As water loss across the SC increases, the humidity next to the skin surface rises and creates a humidity gradient that is proportional to the SC water loss. TEWL is inferred from measuring the change (or flux) in water vapor density at the skin surface compared with a point farther away from the skin.

#### 2. What advantages do condenser-chamber TEWL devices have over unventilated-chamber and open-chamber devices?

**Correct answer: B. Continuous TEWL measurements can be made, and disturbance from ambient air movements is minimized.**

Condenser-chamber TEWL devices are closed by a condenser that is cooled below the freezing point of water. The condenser removes water vapor from the chamber, enabling continuous measurements to be made without the need to interrupt the measurement to allow the water vapor to escape. The condenser also controls the microclimate within the chamber by protecting from ambient air movement and controlling the humidity.

#### 3. What are the suggested conditions for TEWL measurement?

**Correct answer: D. Room temperature of 18–21 °C, relative humidity of 40%–60%, and avoid direct light; subjects should acclimatize to the environment for 20–30 minutes before TEWL measurement.**

Ambient temperature, humidity, and light exposure have all be shown to affect TEWL measurement. Consensus guidelines suggest controlling these factors to achieve consistency and accuracy in TEWL measurement.

#### 4. Which body regions have the highest TEWL?

**Correct answer: A. Palms, soles, axillae, and forehead**

Studies have found that TEWL is highest at the palms, soles, axillae, and forehead. The increased TEWL at sites such as the palms and soles is linked to the low sebaceous lipid content at these sites ([Brancaleon et al. 2001](#)). Regional differences in TEWL may also be due to differences in sweat gland activity, occlusion, skin temperature, thickness, and microvasculature, as well as corneocyte size, maturity, and shedding.

#### 5. Which statement is true regarding TEWL in AD?

**Correct answer: D. TEWL measured during the first days of life can predict the development of AD in infancy.**

[Kelleher et al. \(2015\)](#) and [Horimukai et al. \(2016\)](#) showed that TEWL measured during the first days of life can predict the development of AD in infancy, independent of *FLG* status. These findings suggest that TEWL could potentially be used to identify neonates at increased risk of AD and help guide prevention strategies—for instance, with regular emollient application.