



Higher Frequency of Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 Inhibitor Intake in Bullous Pemphigoid Patients than in the French General Population

Marthe Plaquevent¹, Florence Tétart¹, Laurence Fardet², Saskia Ingen-Housz-Oro², Laurence Valeyrie-Allanore², Philippe Bernard³, Vivien Hebert¹, Aude Roussel⁴, Martine Avenel-Audran⁵, Guillaume Chaby⁶, Michel D'Incan⁷, Marie-Christine Ferrier-Le-Bouedec⁷, Sophie Duvert-Lehembre⁸, Catherine Picard-Dahan⁹, Geraldine Jeudy¹⁰, Evelyne Collet¹⁰, Bruno Labeille¹¹, Cécile Morice¹², Marie-Aleth Richard¹³, Isabelle Bourgault-Villada¹⁴, Noémie Litrowski¹⁵, Corina Bara¹⁶, Emmanuel Mahe¹⁷, Catherine Prost-Squarcioni¹⁸, Marina Alexandre¹⁸, Gaëlle Quereux¹⁹, Claire Bernier¹⁹, Angèle Soria²⁰, Domitille Thomas-Beaulieu²¹, Christine Pauwels²¹, Olivier Dereure²², Jacques Benichou²³ and Pascal Joly¹, and the French Investigators for Skin Adverse Reaction to Drugs, and the French Study Group on Autoimmune Bullous Skin Diseases

Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors have been suspected to induce bullous pemphigoid (BP). The objective of this study was to compare the observed frequency of gliptin intake in a large sample of 1,787 BP patients diagnosed between 2012 and 2015 in France, with the expected frequency after indirect age standardization on 225,412 individuals extracted from the database of the National Healthcare Insurance Agency. The secondary objective was to assess the clinical characteristics and the course of gliptin-associated BP, depending on whether gliptin was continued or stopped. The observed frequencies of intake of the whole gliptin class and that of vildagliptin in the BP population were higher than those in the general population after age standardization (whole gliptin class: 6.0%; 95% confidence interval = 4.9–7.1% vs. 3.6%, observed-to-expected drug intake ratio = 1.7; 95% confidence interval = 1.4–2.0; $P < 0.0001$; vildagliptin = 3.3%; 95% confidence interval = 2.5–4.1% vs. 0.7%, ratio = 4.4; 95% confidence interval = 3.5–5.7; $P < 0.0001$). The association of any gliptin+metformin was also higher than in the general population, ratio = 1.8 (95% confidence interval = 1.3–2.4; $P < 0.0001$). Gliptin-associated BP had no specific clinical characteristics. Gliptin was stopped in 48 (45.3%) cases. Median duration to achieve disease control, rate, and delay of relapse were not different whether gliptin was stopped or continued. This study strongly supports the association between gliptin intake, particularly vildagliptin, and the onset of BP.

Journal of Investigative Dermatology (2019) **139**, 835–841; doi:10.1016/j.jid.2018.10.045

INTRODUCTION

Bullous pemphigoid (BP) is the most frequent autoimmune subepidermal blistering disease. Its incidence has been estimated in France at 21.7 cases per million inhabitants overall,

and 162 cases per million inhabitants in people older than 70 years (Joly et al., 2012). The main risk factors for BP are debilitating neurologic disorders, especially multiple sclerosis and dementia, and drug intake (Bastuji-Garin et al., 2011; Cordel

¹Department of Dermatology, Rouen University Hospital and Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale U1234, Centre de Référence des Maladies Bulleuses Autoimmunes, Normandie University, Rouen, France; ²Department of Dermatology, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Henri Mondor Hospital, Créteil, France; ³Department of Dermatology, University of Reims, Reims, France; ⁴Department of Dermatology, Orleans Hospital, Orléans, France; ⁵Department of Dermatology, University of Angers, Angers, France; ⁶Department of Dermatology, University of Amiens, Amiens, France; ⁷Department of Dermatology, University of Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France; ⁸Department of Dermatology, University of Lille, Lille, France; ⁹Department of Dermatology of Bichat Hospital, University of Paris X, Paris, France; ¹⁰Department of Dermatology Dijon University Hospital, Dijon, France; ¹¹Department of Dermatology, University of Saint Etienne, Saint Etienne, France; ¹²Department of Dermatology, University of Caen, Caen, France; ¹³Department of Dermatology, Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Marseille, Aix Marseille University, Unité Mixte de Recherche 911, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale CRO2, Marseille, France; ¹⁴Department of Dermatology, Ambroise Paré Hospital, Boulogne-Billancourt, France; ¹⁵Department of Dermatology, Jacques Monod Hospital, Montivilliers, France; ¹⁶Department of Dermatology, Le

Mans General Hospital, Le Mans, France; ¹⁷Department of Dermatology, Argenteuil Hospital, Argenteuil, France; ¹⁸Department of Dermatology, University of Paris XIII, Bobigny, France; ¹⁹Department of Dermatology, University of Nantes, Nantes, France; ²⁰Department of Dermatology and Allergology, Tenon Hospital, Paris, France; ²¹Department of Dermatology, Poissy-Saint Germain Hospital, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France; ²²Department of Dermatology, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France; and ²³Department of Biostatistics, Rouen University Hospital and Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale U1219, Normandie University, Rouen, France

Correspondence: Marthe Plaquevent, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Rouen, Clinique Dermatologique, 1 Rue de Germont, 76000 Rouen, France. E-mail: martheplaquevent@orange.fr

Abbreviations: BP, bullous pemphigoid; CI, confidence interval; DPP-4, dipeptidyl peptidase-4; EGB, Echantillon Généraliste des Bénéficiaires (database of the National French Health Insurance Agency); IQR, interquartile range

Received 2 May 2018; revised 17 October 2018; accepted 29 October 2018; accepted manuscript published online 10 December 2018; corrected proof published online 22 February 2019

et al., 2007; Langan et al., 2011; Taghipour et al., 2010). Drug classes associated with the occurrence of BP are diuretics and neuroleptics. More recently, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors, also called gliptins, have been reported to be associated with increased BP incidence (Bastuji-Garin et al., 1996, 2011; Cordel et al., 2007; Lloyd-Lavery et al., 2013).

Gliptins were approved in 2006 for the treatment of diabetes mellitus. They include sitagliptin, vildagliptin, saxagliptin, alogliptin, and linagliptin. The main cutaneous side effects reported with gliptins are cutaneous eruptions, pruritus, urticarial reactions, and some severe but rare reactions, such as toxic epidermal necrolysis or anaphylaxis (Andukuri et al., 2009; Banerji et al., 2010; Desai et al., 2010; Gerrald et al., 2012; Scheen et al., 2010). Forty-one case reports and small case series of gliptin-associated BP have been reported since 2011 (Aouidad et al., 2013; Attaway et al., 2014; Béné et al., 2015; Esposito et al., 2017; Fania et al., 2018; Garcia et al., 2016; Haber et al., 2016; Keseroglu et al., 2017; Mendonça et al., 2016; Pasmazti et al., 2011; Sakai et al., 2017; Schaffer et al., 2017; Skandalis et al., 2012; Yoshiji et al., 2018). Additionally, two case-non-case studies using pharmacovigilance databases reported a signal for an increased risk of BP during DPP-4 inhibitor exposure (Béné et al., 2016; Garcia et al., 2016). Recently, two retrospective case-control studies comparing the frequency of gliptin intake in BP patients with diabetes and in control patients with diabetes but without BP, and a Finnish study comparing the frequency of gliptin intake in a BP population and in a control population of patients with basal cell carcinoma, have suggested an association between this drug class and the occurrence of BP (Benzaquen et al., 2018; Kridin and Bergman, 2018; Varpuluoma et al., 2018). However, none of these studies have compared the frequency of gliptin intake in a population of BP patients with that in the general population, which is essential because the prevalence of diabetes mellitus in the general population increases with age (involving 20% of men and 14% of women aged 75–84 years in France), and because gliptins are commonly prescribed in elderly diabetic patients (Doucet et al., 2016; Mandereau-Bruno and Fosse-Edorh, 2017). The effect of gliptin withdrawal was recently studied in 19 patients with gliptin-associated BP (Benzaquen et al., 2018). After an initial corticosteroid treatment, no further therapy was necessary in these patients after DPP-4 inhibitor withdrawal to obtain BP remission, suggesting a beneficial effect of gliptin withdrawal, which would be of major importance in clinical practice. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to compare the observed frequency of gliptin intake in a large sample of 1,787 BP patients referred to the 21 dermatology departments of the French Study Group on Auto Immune Blistering Diseases, with that expected from indirect age standardization on a large sample of 225,400 patients older than 50 years extracted from the database of the National Healthcare Insurance Agency.

Moreover, we assessed the clinical characteristics and the course of gliptin-associated BP, depending on whether gliptin was continued or stopped.

RESULTS

BP patients characteristics

From January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2015, 1,787 cases of BP were recorded. Among these, 108 (6.0%; 95% confidence

interval [CI] = 4.9–7.1%) were gliptin users. The main clinical characteristics and comorbidities of the 108 BP patients taking gliptins are shown in Table 1. Mean age \pm standard deviation of patients was 77.9 ± 9.3 years. The male/female sex ratio was 1.16. Neurologic comorbidities were found in 34 (31.5%) cases. Fifty-six (51.9%) patients had moderate BP (≤ 10 new blisters/d), 44 (40.7%) patients had severe BP (> 10 new blisters/d), and 8 (7.4%) patients had localized or atypical BP (Table 1). The mean number of daily new blisters was 36.9 ± 73.2 /d. Vildagliptin and sitagliptin were the most frequently used gliptins and were reported in 59 (54.6%) cases and 44 (40.7%) cases, respectively. Saxagliptin was prescribed in five (4.7%) cases only. The median delay between initiation of gliptins and diagnosis of BP was 14.8 months (interquartile range [IQR] 6.0–26.7 months). One hundred and three patients were initially treated with topical corticosteroids alone or associated with prednisone and/or immunosuppressants/immunomodulants. No patient was treated by gliptin withdrawal alone. In case of gliptin withdrawal, an associated topical or systemic treatment was always carried out. Rechallenge with the culprit gliptin was never performed.

Expected frequencies of gliptin intake in BP patients from indirect age standardization on the EGB sample and comparison with observed frequencies

The observed frequency of the whole gliptin class intake and that of vildagliptin, sitagliptin, and saxagliptin in the BP population, and the corresponding expected frequencies after indirect age standardization on the French general population (EGB sample) are reported in Table 2. After age standardization, the observed frequency of intake of the whole gliptin class and that of vildagliptin was higher than expected in BP patients (whole gliptin class: 6.0%; 95% CI = 4.9–7.1% vs. 3.6%; $P < 0.0001$; vildagliptin: 3.3%; 95% CI = 2.5–4.1% vs. 0.7%; $P < 0.0001$), corresponding to an observed-to-expected drug intake frequency ratio of 1.7 (95% CI = 1.4–2.0) for the whole gliptin class and 4.4 (95% CI = 3.3–5.7) for vildagliptin.

We then assessed the potential effect of metformin as a co-triggering factor of BP. Because we could only record the intake of preparations associating metformin + gliptin as a single medication in the general population, we compared the observed and expected frequencies of drugs containing this association in the BP population. Interestingly, the associations metformin + all gliptins, and metformin + vildagliptin were 1.8-fold (2.6% vs. 1.4%; $P < 0.0001$) and 4.5-fold (1.9% vs. 0.4%; $P < 0.0001$) more frequently prescribed in the BP population than expected frequencies in the general population after age standardization (Table 2).

Clinical course of gliptin-associated BP depending on whether gliptin was continued or withdrawn

Information on gliptin withdrawal or continuation could be recorded in 106 of the 108 patients who used gliptins. The median follow-up duration of patients (including deceased patients) after BP diagnosis was 9.9 months (IQR 1.0–21.2 months). Gliptin was continued in 58 (54.7%) patients and stopped in 48 (45.3%) cases. The mean number of daily new blisters (43.0 ± 90.9 vs. 32.2 ± 56.6 ; $P = 0.51$) and anti-BP180 (116.9 ± 88.1 UA/ml vs. 114.4 ± 84.7 UA/ml;

Table 1. Clinical and immunological characteristics of the 108 patients with bullous pemphigoid taking gliptins

Characteristics	Data
Sex, n (%)	
Male	58 (53.7)
Female	50 (46.3)
Age, y, mean ± SD	77.9 ± 9.3
Neurologic disorders, n (%)	34 (31.5)
Dementia	21 (19.4)
Stroke	16 (14.8)
Parkinson's disease	3 (2.8)
Multiple sclerosis	1 (0.9)
Peripheral neuropathy	1 (0.9)
Bullous pemphigoid extent, n (%)	
Severe (>10 new blisters/d)	44 (40.7)
Moderate (≤10 new blisters/d)	56 (51.9)
Atypical (localized or non-bullous)	8 (7.4)
Clinical presentation, n (%)	
Eczematous	54 (50.0)
Urticarial	53 (49.1)
Including eczematous and urticarial	29 (26.9)
Pruritus	103 (95.4)
Blood eosinophilia, ¹ n (%)	46 (46.9)
Anti-BP230 antibodies, ² n (%)	22 (37.9)
ELISA value, UA/ml, mean ± SD	43.2 ± 54.0
Anti-BP180 antibodies, ² n (%)	41 (70.7)
ELISA value, UA/ml, mean ± SD	115.7 ± 85.5
Gliptin intake, n (%)	
Vildagliptin	59 (54.6)
Sitagliptin	44 (40.7)
Saxagliptin	5 (4.6)
Associated treatments, n (%)	
Metformin	68 (63.0)
Metformin+gliptin in single medication	46 (42.6)
Other antidiabetic treatments	31 (28.7)
Loop diuretic	22 (20.4)
Anti-aldosterone	5 (4.6)
Phenothiazine	1 (0.9)

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

¹Recorded in 98 patients.

²Performed in 58 patients.

$P = 0.95$) and anti-BP230 antibody ELISA values (33.3 ± 45.8 UA/ml vs. 53.1 ± 60.6 ; $P = 0.090$) were not different between the group of patients in whom gliptins were stopped and the group of patients in whom gliptins were continued. The median delay between BP diagnosis and gliptin withdrawal in these latter patients was 12.0 days (IQR 1.0–71.0 days). The mean initial dose of clobetasol propionate cream received by patients in whom gliptin was stopped was 27.7 ± 6.8 g/d and that of patients who continued to take gliptin was 27.3 ± 8.4 g/d ($P = 0.93$). Methotrexate was associated with topical corticosteroids in six and four cases, respectively.

Median duration to achieve disease control was not different whether gliptin was stopped, 15.0 days (IQR 5.0–31.5 days) or continued, 14.0 days (IQR 5.0–30.0 days) ($P = 0.95$). Information about the occurrence of a first relapse was recorded in 74 cases. The rate and delay of relapse were not different whether gliptin was stopped (relapse rate, 17/39

[43.6%]; delay, 4.8 months [IQR 2.2–10.3] months) or continued (relapse rate, 13/35 [37.1%]; delay, 5.8 months [IQR 3.0–14.0 months]) ($P = 0.63$ and $P = 0.90$, respectively).

Because we observed a wide variation in the delay of gliptin withdrawal (IQR 1.0–71.0 days), we then assessed the relapse rate of BP patients depending on whether gliptin was stopped early or late after BP diagnosis. We arbitrarily chose a cutoff delay of more or less than 1 month between BP diagnosis and gliptin withdrawal, which resulted in a median delay between diagnosis of BP and gliptin withdrawal of 2.0 days (IQR 1.0–10.0 days) in the “early gliptin withdrawal” subgroup and 4.0 months (IQR 1.9–11.8 months) in the “late gliptin withdrawal” subgroup. No difference in the rate of relapse (10/23 [43.5%] vs. 7/16 [43.8%]; $P = 0.98$), or the delay of relapse (3.6 months [IQR 1.3–7.5 months] vs. 9.9 months [IQR 4.0–15.5; $P = 0.17$]) was evidenced depending on whether gliptin was stopped, respectively, early or late after BP diagnosis.

Because vildagliptin and sitagliptin accounted for the majority of cases in the present study, we further investigated the delay of disease control and the rate of relapse, depending on whether vildagliptin and sitagliptin were stopped or continued after BP diagnosis. Table 3 shows that no statistically significant difference in the delay of disease control, or the rate and delay of relapse could be evidenced whether vildagliptin and sitagliptin were stopped or continued after BP diagnosis.

DISCUSSION

The present study clearly demonstrated an association between gliptin intake and the onset of BP, because the frequency of gliptin intake was observed 1.7-fold more frequently in a large population of 1,787 (6.0%) BP patients than expected from indirect age standardization (3.6%) on a sample of 225,400 patients representative of the general population in France. The association between BP and vildagliptin intake was even higher than with the whole gliptin class, with an observed-to-expected drug intake frequency ratio of 4.4. Such an association with vildagliptin has been suggested by two pharmacovigilance studies that reported a disproportionately high number of declared cases of BP associated with vildagliptin relative to other drugs (Béné et al., 2016; Garcia et al., 2016). Two recent case-control studies also reported an association with vildagliptin, which was taken by 23.0% and 29.3% of 61 and 82 diabetic patients with BP versus 4.1% and 4.3% of 122 and 328 diabetic control patients, respectively, resulting in adjusted odds ratios of 3.57 and 10.67, respectively (Benzaquen et al., 2018; Kridin and Bergman, 2018). As in these case-control studies, we did not evidence a statistically significant association with sitagliptin, or saxagliptin in the present study. Interestingly, the 6% versus 3.6% frequencies of gliptin intake that we observed in the BP and the general populations from the present study were close to the 6.5% and 2.0% frequencies observed in the BP population and a control population of patients with basal cell carcinoma in the Finnish study (Varpuluoma et al., 2018).

Benzaquen et al. suggested that gliptin withdrawal may have a favorable impact on the outcome of BP in diabetic

Table 2. Comparison of the observed frequencies of gliptin intake (alone or in preparations associating metformin) in the bullous pemphigoid population with expected frequencies from indirect age standardization on a large sample (Echantillon Généraliste des Bénéficiaires sample) from the French general population

Variable	Observed Frequency of Drug Intake in the General Population Older than 50 Years, n (%) (N = 225,412)	Expected Frequency of Drug Intake in the BP Population After Age Standardization on the French General Population (EGB Sample), n (%) (N = 1,787)	Observed Frequency of Drug Intake in the BP Population (N = 1,787)		Observed-to-Expected Drug Intake Frequency Ratio (95% CI)	P-Value
			n (%)	95% CI		
All gliptins	8,729 (3.8)	64.3 (3.6)	108 (6.0)	4.9–7.1	1.7 (1.4–2.0)	<0.0001
Sitagliptin	5,698 (2.5)	44.1 (2.5)	44 (2.4)	1.7–3.2	1.0 (0.7–1.3)	1
Vildagliptin	1,776 (0.8)	13.3 (0.7)	59 (3.3)	2.5–4.1	4.4 (3.5–5.7)	<0.0001
Saxagliptin	890 (0.4)	1.2 (0.1)	5 (0.3) ¹	0.0–0.7	²	²
All gliptins+metformin	4,392 (1.9)	25.7 (1.4)	46 (2.6)	1.8–3.3	1.8 (1.3–2.4)	<0.0001
Sitagliptin+metformin	2,919 (1.3)	17.1 (1.0)	13 (0.7)	0.3–1.1	0.8 (0.4–1.3)	0.33
Vildagliptin+metformin	1,257 (0.6)	7.4 (0.4)	33 (1.9)	1.2–2.5	4.5 (3.2–6.3)	<0.0001
Saxagliptin+metformin	214 (0.09)	1.2 (0.1)	0 (0) ¹	0.0–0.2	²	²

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; EGB, Echantillon Généraliste des Bénéficiaires.

¹Exact 95% CI.

²Not calculated because there were too few exposed cases.

patients, as 95% of them achieved clinical remission after gliptin withdrawal and the start of a first-line treatment (Benzaquen et al., 2018). However, because most patients in their study were treated with high-potency topical corticosteroids, which have been consistently reported to induce between 95% and 100% of complete remission (Joly et al., 2002, Joly et al., 2009), we feel that this statement must be interpreted cautiously.

Indeed, we found in the literature, 35 cases of gliptin-associated BP, in which the authors considered that stopping gliptin had a favorable effect on the course of BP. Most cases corresponded to persistent complete remission after gliptin withdrawal. However, we found only one case of partial remission of BP after gliptin withdrawal, without initial corticosteroid therapy. All other patients, including the latter, were treated with oral or systemic corticosteroids to achieve complete remission. According to the fact that all patients in our study were also treated with high-potency topical corticosteroids or systemic treatments, we did not observe any difference in the delay of disease control, whether gliptin was stopped (median 15.0 days) or continued (median 14.0 days). We then assessed the relapse rate depending on whether gliptin was continued or stopped and, in the latter subgroup, depending on whether gliptin was stopped early or late after BP diagnosis. Interestingly, the 43.6% versus 37.1%, and 43.5% versus 43.8% rates of relapse that we observed in these subgroups were not statistically different, and were in fact, very close to the 35% to 43% relapse rates that we previously reported in two large series of 700 BP patients treated with topical or oral corticosteroids (Joly et al., 2002, 2009). In our opinion, these findings do not support the previously suggested favorable impact of gliptin withdrawal on the outcome of BP patients.

Apart from a slightly younger age, we did not evidence any clinical particularities of patients with gliptin-associated BP compared with previous series reporting “usual” BP in France, irrespective of drugs used (Cordel et al., 2007; Joly

et al., 2002, 2009). Neurologic disorders were associated in 31.5% of patients from the present series versus 36% in the study by Cordel et al. (2007), who first reported the association of BP and neurologic disorders. Extensive, moderate, and localized/atypical non-bullous types of BP accounted for 40.7%, 51.9%, and 7.4%, respectively, which corresponds to the usual presentation of clinical types of BP in France (Joly et al., 2012), and does not support the previously reported over-representation of mild and pauci-inflammatory subtypes among gliptin-associated BP (Fania et al., 2018; Izumi et al., 2016; Sakai et al., 2017). We did not observe the borderline significantly higher mucosal involvement found in the study by Kridin and Bergman (2018). Seventy percent of the tested sera recognized the NC16A domain of BP-180 by ELISA, which is in accordance with the 53–96% sensitivity of the BP-180 ELISA reported in the literature (Chan et al., 2003; Charneux et al., 2011; Giudice et al., 1994; Kobayashi et al., 2002; Roussel et al., 2011; Sakuma-Oyama et al., 2004; Tampoia et al., 2009; Thoma-Uszynski et al., 2004; Zillikens et al., 1997), and the 65.8% rate of anti-BP180-NC16A antibodies reported by Kawaguchi et al. (2018) in 32 BP patients taking gliptins. Conversely, only 38% of sera from patients with gliptin-associated BP recognized BP-230 by ELISA, which is lower than the 48–81.5% sensitivity of the BP-230 ELISA assay reported with “usual” BP sera (Blöcker et al., 2012; Charneux et al., 2011; Keller et al., 2016; Roussel et al., 2011; Sárdy et al., 2013; Tampoia et al., 2009; Thoma-Uszynski et al., 2004). We did not test these sera on epitopes located on the midportion of BP-180, which have been reported to be recognized by 7 of the 14 (50.0%) cases of sera from patients with gliptin-associated BP (Izumi et al., 2016).

The potential effect of metformin as a co-triggering factor of BP was not analyzed in the case-control study of Benzaquen et al. (2018). Kridin and Bergman (2018) reported that the association of the use of DPP-4 inhibitor and BP was independent of the use of metformin. Conversely,

Table 3. Comparison of the delay of disease control, rate, and delay of relapse (in relapsing patients) of bullous pemphigoid patients who used gliptins, depending on whether gliptin was stopped or continued

Variable	Delay of Disease Control, d, Median (IQR) (n = 84)			Rate of Relapse, n (%) (n = 74)			Delay of Relapse, mo, Median (IQR) (n = 30)		
	Gliptin Stopped	Gliptin Continued	P-Value ¹	Gliptin Stopped	Gliptin Continued	P-Value ²	Gliptin Stopped	Gliptin Continued	P-Value ¹
All gliptins	15.0 (5.0–31.5)	14.0 (5.0–30.0)	0.95	17/39 (43.6)	13/35 (37.1)	0.63	4.8 (2.2–10.3)	5.8 (3.0–14.0)	0.90
Sitagliptin	17.5 (7.0–51.8)	14.0 (6.0–30.0)	0.77	8/15 (53.3)	5/14 (35.7)	0.34	6.7 (2.8–11.2)	5.8 (3.6–7.0)	0.94
Vildagliptin	10.0 (6.0–17.0)	15.0 (6.5–30.5)	0.29	7/21 (33.3)	9/20 (45.0)	0.44	3.0 (1.5–4.7)	6.0 (3.0–14.0)	0.29

Abbreviation: IQR, interquartile range.

¹Mann-Whitney's nonparametric test.

²Pearson's χ^2 test.

Varpuluoma et al. (2018) showed that although metformin monotherapy was not associated with BP, metformin plus vildagliptin or sitagliptin was associated with an increased risk of BP, with respective adjusted odds ratios of 6.71 and 2.40 relative to a control population of patients with basal cell carcinoma. We also observed in the present study, a between two- to almost fivefold higher frequency of the association of metformin with all gliptins or vildagliptin intake in the BP population than in the general population after indirect age standardization. Our findings might suggest a potential effect of metformin as a co-triggering factor of BP, whereas Varpuluoma et al. (2018) suggested that in BP cases diagnosed during metformin-vildagliptin combination therapy, metformin could be safely continued during withdrawal of vildagliptin. Further studies are necessary to determine the exact role of metformin in the occurrence of gliptin-associated BP.

The main strengths of this study are the large population of 1,787 patients with BP, and the comparison with a sample of 225,400 patients from the Echantillon Généraliste des Bénéficiaires (EGB) database, which is representative of the general population in France. A selection bias in the BP population is unlikely in this multicenter study because it was performed in 21 secondary and tertiary care dermatology departments of the French Study Group on Auto Immune Blistering Diseases and recruitment was exhaustive in all of these centers. A recall bias is a common problem in retrospective studies, especially those involving elderly subjects who may suffer from memory impairment. However, because all BP patients are hospitalized in an outpatient or inpatient hospitalization unit in France, drug intake information was systematically verified from the hospital pharmacy computerized databases, which makes recall bias, whether differential or not, very unlikely in this study. A confounding (indication) bias is possible because the data in the literature reporting the association of diabetes with BP are contradictory (Bastuji-Garin et al., 2011; Kibsgaard et al., 2017; Ren et al., 2017; Taghipour et al., 2010). The absence of difference in the course of BP, depending on whether gliptins were stopped or not, might be due to a lack of statistical power. Indeed, despite the fact that our cohort of 108 BP patients taking gliptins is the largest reported so far, the analyses comparing risks of relapse between patients continuing gliptin intake and those stopping gliptin intake were calculated on a small population of patients (n = 35 vs. 39).

Finally, despite the retrospective design of the study, which can be considered as a limitation, information on gliptin withdrawal or continuation could be recorded in all but two patients.

The pathogenesis of gliptin-associated BP remains unclear. Pathophysiological hypotheses have been proposed in a recent report (Benzaquen et al., 2018). DPP-4 inhibition could enhance the activity of proinflammatory chemokines like eotaxin promoting eosinophil activation in the skin (Forssmann et al., 2008). Alternatively, gliptin intake, which blocks the transformation of plasminogen into plasmin, could result in the inhibition of the cleavage of BP-180 by plasmin, thus affecting its antigenicity and/or its function (Izumi et al., 2016).

In conclusion, this study confirms the association between gliptin intake, particularly vildagliptin, and the onset of BP. In view of the seriousness of BP, this risk and the known benefits of gliptins should be considered when devising a treatment strategy for elderly diabetic patients. Because patients with gliptin-associated BP do not seem to have a specific clinical presentation, or a particular course after gliptin withdrawal, it is likely that gliptins can trigger BP in high-risk elderly diabetic patients, rather than really inducing BP, which is susceptible to spontaneous regression after gliptin withdrawal (Wolf et al., 1991).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

All BP patients consulting in the 21 dermatology departments of the French Study Group on Auto Immune Bullous Skin Diseases from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2015 were included. Diagnosis of BP was made according to clinical and histologic criteria (Courville et al., 2000; Joly et al., 2004; Kershenovich et al., 2014; Vaillant et al., 1998) and positive direct immunofluorescence examination of a skin biopsy specimen showing linear deposits of IgG and/or C3 along the dermal epidermal junction (Feliciani et al., 2015). Anti-BP180 and anti-BP230 antibody titers were measured using a commercially available ELISA assay (Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany) using the cutoff values proposed by the manufacturer (i.e., 20 U/ml). According to French law, this retrospective study did not require the approval of an ethics committee or patients' informed consent.

Assessment of gliptin intake

Population of BP patients. Almost all BP patients end up being hospitalized in an outpatient or inpatient unit in France. Gliptin

intake was therefore recorded from patients' medical files and systematically verified from the hospital pharmacy's computerized prescription database. The delay between gliptin initiation and BP diagnosis and the delay between BP diagnosis and gliptin withdrawal were also recorded from patients' medical files.

General population. We assessed gliptin intake in the French general population from the French reimbursement database EGB, which we accessed thanks to a successful request to the Institut des Données de Santé (French Health Data Institute). Gliptin intake in the general population was documented for the whole gliptin class and for each gliptin separately (sitagliptin, vildagliptin and saxagliptin), by age class, between January 1, 2012 and December 31, 2015. Approximately 90% of the French population is covered by the national health care insurance system. The EGB is a permanent representative sample of the population benefiting from the French health care insurance system. It is obtained by 1/97 random sampling with stratification on sex and age. For all beneficiaries, it consists of the exhaustive recording of drug reimbursements, with identification of medication packs, including the number and dosage strengths of treatment units. The database also contains information on sociodemographic features, hospitalization data (diagnoses and dates), and the presence of certain chronic diseases (*affections de longue durée*, an administrative status allowing full reimbursement of health care for a given condition, e.g., diabetes, cancer, psychosis). Details on the EGB scheme have been described previously (Bénard-Larivière et al., 2015, 2017).

Statistical analysis

Primary objective. The observed frequency of gliptin intake with its 95% CI was calculated in BP patients and compared with the expected frequency after indirect age standardization on the French general population (Schokkaert and Van de Voorde, 2009). Namely, the expected proportion of BP patients with gliptin intake was obtained from applying age-specific proportions of gliptin intake in the general population (as obtained from the EGB sample) to the BP population, thus accounting for the age distribution in the BP population and age-specific gliptin intake frequencies in the general population. Then, observed and expected proportions of BP patients with gliptin intake were compared for the whole gliptin class and for each medication separately (sitagliptin, vildagliptin, and saxagliptin), as well as preparations associating these drugs with metformin, using a 1-degree of freedom goodness-of-fit χ^2 test. Finally, ratios of observed-to-expected numbers of BP patients with gliptin intake were estimated and corresponding 95% CIs were obtained based on the log transformation. All tests were considered significant for a P value < 0.05.

Secondary objectives. The criteria for why gliptins were stopped depended on whether investigators were aware of the risk associated with gliptin intake, and convinced of the potential benefit of stopping gliptins. This number increased from the beginning of the study in 2012 to the end of the study in 2015. Characteristics of BP course, that is, delay of disease control, relapse (yes, no) and delay of relapse (among relapsing patients) were compared within the group of BP patients who had used gliptin according to whether gliptin was stopped or continued, using the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test or Pearson's χ^2 test, as appropriate.

Microsoft Excel, version 2007 (Microsoft Office, Redmond, WA) and StatXact, version 7 (Cytel Software Corporation, Cambridge, MA) software was used for statistical analyses.

ORCID

Marthe Plaquevent: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8520-3090>

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors state no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Nikki Sabourin-Gibbs, Rouen University Hospital, for her help in editing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Andukuri R, Drincic A, Rendell M. Alogliptin: a new addition to the class of DPP-4 inhibitors. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes Targets Ther* 2009;2:117–26.
- Aouidad I, Fite C, Marinho E, Deschamps L, Crickx B, Descamps V. A case report of bullous pemphigoid induced by dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors. *JAMA Dermatol* 2013;149:243–5.
- Attaway A, Mersfelder TL, Vaishnav S, Baker JK. Bullous pemphigoid associated with dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitors. A case report and review of literature. *J Dermatol Case Rep* 2014;4:24–8.
- Banerji MA, Purkayastha D, Francis BH. Safety and tolerability of vildagliptin vs. thiazolidinedione as add-on to metformin in type 2 diabetic patients with and without mild renal impairment: a retrospective analysis of the GALIANT study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2010;90:182–90.
- Bastuji-Garin S, Joly P, Picard-Dahan C, Bernard P, Vaillant L, Pauwels C, et al. Drugs associated with bullous pemphigoid. A case-control study. *Arch Dermatol* 1996;132:272–6.
- Bastuji-Garin S, Joly P, Lemordant P, Sparsa A, Bedane C, Delaporte E, et al. Risk factors for bullous pemphigoid in the elderly: a prospective case-control study. *J Invest Dermatol* 2011;131:637–43.
- Bénard-Larivière A, Jové J, Lassalle R, Robinson P, Droz-Perroteau C, Noize P. Drug use in French children: a population-based study. *Arch Dis Child* 2015;100:960–5.
- Bénard-Larivière A, Pariente A, Pambrun E, Bégaud B, Fardet L, Noize P. Prevalence and prescription patterns of oral glucocorticoids in adults: a retrospective cross-sectional and cohort analysis in France. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e015905.
- Béné J, Auffret M, Gautier S, Jacobsoone A, Coupe P, Babai S, et al. Bullous pemphigoid induced by vildagliptin: a report of three cases. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* 2015;29:112–4.
- Béné J, Moulis G, Bennani I, Auffret M, Coupe P, Babai S, et al. Bullous pemphigoid and dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitors: a case-noncase study in the French Pharmacovigilance Database. *Br J Dermatol* 2016;175:296–301.
- Benzaquen M, Borradori L, Berbis P, Cazzaniga S, Valero R, Richard M-A, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors, a risk factor for bullous pemphigoid. Retrospective multicenter case-control study in France and Switzerland. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2018;78:1090–6.
- Blöcker IM, Dähnrich C, Probst C, Komorowski L, Saschenbrecker S, Schlumberger W, et al. Epitope mapping of BP230 leading to a novel enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for autoantibodies in bullous pemphigoid. *Br J Dermatol* 2012;166:964–70.
- Chan Y-C, Sun Y-J, Ng PP-L, Tan S-H. Comparison of immunofluorescence microscopy, immunoblotting and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay methods in the laboratory diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2003;28:651–6.
- Charneau J, Lorin J, Vitry F, Antonicelli F, Reguiai Z, Barbe C, et al. Usefulness of BP230 and BP180-NC16a enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays in the initial diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid: a retrospective study of 138 patients. *Arch Dermatol* 2011;147:286–91.
- Cordel N, Chosidow O, Hellot M-F, Delaporte E, Lok C, Vaillant L, et al. Neurological disorders in patients with bullous pemphigoid. *Dermatol Basel Switz* 2007;215:187–91.
- Courville P, Kupfer I, Gilbert D, Thomine E, Metayer J, Joly P. [Evaluation of histological criteria for bullous pemphigoid. Correlation with antigens recognized by immunoblotting of anti-epidermal autoantibodies]. *Ann Pathol* 2000;20:564–9.
- Desai S, Brinker A, Swann J, Iyasu S. Sitagliptin-associated drug allergy: review of spontaneous adverse event reports. *Arch Intern Med* 2010;170:1169–71.
- Doucet JA, Bauduceau B, Le Floch J-P, Verny C, SFD/SFGG Intergroup. Medical treatments of elderly, French patients with type 2 diabetes: results at inclusion in the GERODIAB Cohort. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* 2016;30:76–81.

- Eposito I, Moretta G, Peris K, De Simone C. Linagliptin-induced bullous pemphigoid. *Int J Dermatol* 2017;56:1467–9.
- Fania L, Saleme A, Provini A, Pagnanelli G, Collina MC, Abeni D, et al. Detection and characterization of IGG, IGE and IGA autoantibodies in patients with bullous pemphigoid associated with dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2018;78:592–5.
- Feliciani C, Joly P, Jonkman MF, Zambruno G, Zillikens D, Ioannides D, et al. Management of bullous pemphigoid: the European Dermatology Forum consensus in collaboration with the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology. *Br J Dermatol* 2015;172:867–77.
- Forssmann U, Stoetzer C, Stephan M, Kruschinski C, Skripuletz T, Schade J, et al. Inhibition of CD26/dipeptidyl peptidase IV enhances CCL11/eotaxin-mediated recruitment of eosinophils in vivo. *J Immunol Baltim Md* 1950 2008;181:1120–7.
- García M, Aranburu MA, Palacios-Zabalza I, Lertxundi U, Aguirre C. Dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors induced bullous pemphigoid: a case report and analysis of cases reported in the European pharmacovigilance database. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2016;41:368–70.
- Gerrald KR, Van Scoyoc E, Wines RC, Runge T, Jonas DE. Saxagliptin and sitagliptin in adult patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2012;14:481–92.
- Giudice GJ, Wilske KC, Anhalt GJ, Fairley JA, Taylor AF, Emery DJ, et al. Development of an ELISA to detect anti-BP180 autoantibodies in bullous pemphigoid and herpes gestationis. *J Invest Dermatol* 1994;102:878–81.
- Haber R, Fayad AM, Stephan F, Obeid G, Tomb R. Bullous pemphigoid associated with linagliptin treatment. *JAMA Dermatol* 2016;152:224–6.
- Izumi K, Nishie W, Mai Y, Wada M, Natsuga K, Ujiie H, et al. Autoantibody profile differentiates between inflammatory and noninflammatory bullous pemphigoid. *J Invest Dermatol* 2016;136:2201–10.
- Joly P, Roujeau J-C, Benichou J, Picard C, Dreno B, Delaporte E, et al. A comparison of oral and topical corticosteroids in patients with bullous pemphigoid. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:321–7.
- Joly P, Courville P, Lok C, Bernard P, Saiag P, Dreno B, et al. Clinical criteria for the diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid: a reevaluation according to immunoblot analysis of patient sera. *Dermatol Basel Switz* 2004;208:16–20.
- Joly P, Roujeau J-C, Benichou J, Delaporte E, D'Incan M, Dreno B, et al. A comparison of two regimens of topical corticosteroids in the treatment of patients with bullous pemphigoid: a multicenter randomized study. *J Invest Dermatol* 2009;129:1681–7.
- Joly P, Baricault S, Sparsa A, Bernard P, Bédane C, Duvert-Lehembre S, et al. Incidence and mortality of bullous pemphigoid in France. *J Invest Dermatol* 2012;132:1998–2004.
- Kawaguchi Y, Shimauchi R, Nishibori N, Kawashima K, Oshitani S, Fujiya A, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors-associated bullous pemphigoid: a retrospective study of 168 pemphigoid and 9,304 diabetes mellitus patients. *J Diabetes Investig* 2018 Jun 19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdi.12877> [Epub ahead of print].
- Keller JJ, Kittridge AL, Debanne SM, Korman NJ. Evaluation of ELISA testing for BP180 and BP230 as a diagnostic modality for bullous pemphigoid: a clinical experience. *Arch Dermatol Res* 2016;308:269–72.
- Kershenovich R, Hodak E, Mimouni D. Diagnosis and classification of pemphigus and bullous pemphigoid. *Autoimmun Rev* 2014;13:477–81.
- Keseroglu HO, Taş-Aygar G, Gönül M, Gököz O, Ersoy-Evans S. A case of bullous pemphigoid induced by vildagliptin. *Cutan Ocul Toxicol* 2017;36:201–2.
- Kibsgaard L, Rasmussen M, Lambert A, Deleuran M, Olesen AB, Vestergaard C. Increased frequency of multiple sclerosis among patients with bullous pemphigoid: a population-based cohort study on comorbidities anchored around the diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid. *Br J Dermatol* 2017;176:1486–91.
- Kobayashi M, Amagai M, Kuroda-Kinoshita K, Hashimoto T, Shirakata Y, Hashimoto K, et al. BP180 ELISA using bacterial recombinant NC16a protein as a diagnostic and monitoring tool for bullous pemphigoid. *J Dermatol Sci* 2002;30:224–32.
- Kridin K, Bergman R. Association of bullous pemphigoid with dipeptidyl-peptidase 4 inhibitors in patients with diabetes: estimating the risk of the new agents and characterizing the patients. *JAMA Dermatol* 2018;154:1152–8.
- Langan SM, Groves RW, West J. The relationship between neurological disease and bullous pemphigoid: a population-based case-control study. *J Invest Dermatol* 2011;131:631–6.
- Lloyd-Lavery A, Chi C-C, Wojnarowska F, Taghipour K. The associations between bullous pemphigoid and drug use: a UK case-control study. *JAMA Dermatol* 2013;149:58–62.
- Mandereau-Bruno L, Fosse-Edorh S. Prévalence du diabète traité pharmacologiquement (tous types) en France en 2015. Disparités territoriales et socio-économiques. *Bull Epidémiol Hebd* 2017;(27-28):586–91. Available at: http://invs.santepubliquefrance.fr/beh/2017/27-28/2017_27-28_3.html. Accessed December 1, 2017.
- Mendonça FMI, Martín-Gutiérrez FJ, Ríos-Martín JJ, Camacho-Martinez F. Three cases of bullous pemphigoid associated with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors—one due to linagliptin. *Dermatol Basel Switz* 2016;232:249–53.
- Pasmatzis E, Monastirli A, Habeos J, Georgiou S, Tsambaos D. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors cause bullous pemphigoid in diabetic patients: report of two cases. *Diabetes Care* 2011;34(8):e133.
- Ren Z, Hsu DY, Brieva J, Silverberg NB, Langan SM, Silverberg JL. Hospitalization, inpatient burden and comorbidities associated with bullous pemphigoid in the U.S.A. *Br J Dermatol* 2017;176:87–99.
- Roussel A, Benichou J, Randriamanantany ZA, Gilbert D, Drenovska K, Houivet E, et al. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the combination of bullous pemphigoid antigens 1 and 2 in the diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid. *Arch Dermatol* 2011;147:293–8.
- Sakai A, Shimomura Y, Ansaï O, Saito Y, Tomii K, Tsuchida Y, et al. Linagliptin-associated bullous pemphigoid that was most likely caused by IgG autoantibodies against the midportion of BP180. *Br J Dermatol* 2017;176:541–3.
- Sakuma-Oyama Y, Powell AM, Oyama N, Albert S, Bhogal BS, Black MM. Evaluation of a BP180-NC16a enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in the initial diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid. *Br J Dermatol* 2004;151:126–31.
- Sárdy M, Kostaki D, Varga R, Peris K, Ruzicka T. Comparative study of direct and indirect immunofluorescence and of bullous pemphigoid 180 and 230 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2013;69:748–53.
- Schaffer C, Buclin T, Jornayvaz FR, Cazzaniga S, Borradori L, Gilliet M, et al. Use of Dipeptidyl-peptidase IV inhibitors and bullous pemphigoid. *Dermatology (Basel)* 2017;233:401–3.
- Scheen AJ, Charpentier G, Ostgren CJ, Hellqvist A, Gause-Nilsson I. Efficacy and safety of saxagliptin in combination with metformin compared with sitagliptin in combination with metformin in adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2010;26:540–9.
- Schokkaert E, Van de Voorde C. Direct versus indirect standardization in risk adjustment. *J Health Econ* 2009;28:361–74.
- Skandalis K, Spirova M, Gaitanis G, Tsartsarakis A, Bassukas ID. Drug-induced bullous pemphigoid in diabetes mellitus patients receiving dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitors plus metformin. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2012;26:249–53.
- Taghipour K, Chi C-C, Vincent A, Groves RW, Venning V, Wojnarowska F. The association of bullous pemphigoid with cerebrovascular disease and dementia: a case-control study. *Arch Dermatol* 2010;146:1251–4.
- Tampoia M, Lattanzi V, Zucano A, Villalta D, Filotico R, Fontana A, et al. Evaluation of a new ELISA assay for detection of BP230 autoantibodies in bullous pemphigoid. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2009;1173:15–20.
- Thoma-Uszynski S, Uter W, Schwietzke S, Hofmann SC, Hunziker T, Bernard P, et al. BP230- and BP180-specific auto-antibodies in bullous pemphigoid. *J Invest Dermatol* 2004;122:1413–22.
- Vaillant L, Bernard P, Joly P, Prost C, Labeille B, Bedane C, et al. Evaluation of clinical criteria for diagnosis of bullous pemphigoid. *French Bullous Study Group. Arch Dermatol* 1998;134:1075–80.
- Varpuluoma O, Försti A-K, Jokelainen J, Turpeinen M, Timonen M, Huilaja L, et al. Vildagliptin significantly increases the risk of bullous pemphigoid: a Finnish Nationwide Registry Study. *J Invest Dermatol* 2018;138:1659–61.
- Wolf R, Tamir A, Brenner S. Drug-induced versus drug-triggered pemphigus. *Dermatologica* 1991;182:207–10.
- Yoshiji S, Murakami T, Harashima S-I, Ko R, Kashima R, Yabe D, et al. Bullous pemphigoid associated with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors: a report of five cases. *J Diabetes Investig* 2018;9:445–7.
- Zillikens D, Mascaro JM, Rose PA, Liu Z, Ewing SM, Caux F, et al. A highly sensitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of circulating anti-BP180 autoantibodies in patients with bullous pemphigoid. *J Invest Dermatol* 1997;109:679–83.