Circulating tumor cells are the key link between the primary tumor and distant metastases, but once in the bloodstream, loss of adhesion induces cell death. To identify the mechanisms relevant for melanoma circulating tumor cell survival, we performed RNA sequencing and discovered that detached melanoma cells and isolated melanoma circulating tumor cells rewire lipid metabolism by upregulating fatty acid (FA) transport and FA beta-oxidation–related genes. In patients with melanoma, high expression of FA transporters and FA beta-oxidation enzymes significantly correlates with reduced progression-free and overall survival. Among the highest expressed regulators in melanoma circulating tumor cells were the carnitine transferases carnitine O-octanoyltransferase and carnitine acetyltransferase, which control the shuttle of peroxisome-derived medium-chain FAs toward mitochondria to fuel mitochondrial FA beta-oxidation. Knockdown of carnitine O-octanoyltransferase or carnitine acetyltransferase and short-term treatment with peroxisomal or mitochondrial FA beta-oxidation inhibitors thioridazine or ranolazine suppressed melanoma metastasis in mice. Carnitine O-octanoyltransferase and carnitine acetyltransferase depletion could be rescued by medium-chain FA supplementation, indicating that the peroxisomal supply of FAs is crucial for the survival of nonadherent melanoma cells. Our study identifies targeting the FA-based cross-talk between peroxisomes and mitochondria as a potential therapeutic opportunity to challenge melanoma progression. Moreover, the discovery of the antimetastatic activity of the Food and Drug Administration–approved drug ranolazine carries translational potential.

INTRODUCTION

Cutaneous melanoma is responsible for over 80% of skin cancer–related deaths, but BRAF/mitogen-activated protein kinase inhibitor combinations and immunotherapies have resulted in significant improvements (Luke et al., 2017; Wolchok et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the development of resistance mechanisms represents a major challenge (Trojaniello et al., 2021).

Melanoma tumors are considerably heterogeneous and frequently consist of populations of cells with distinct transcriptional states (Hoek et al., 2006; Tsoi et al., 2018) called melanoma phenotypes. Importantly, individual phenotypes ranging from an undifferentiated to a fully differentiated state can impact therapy (Arozarena and Wellbrock, 2019; Konieczkowski et al., 2014; Müller et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2017, 2013) and melanoma invasion and metastasis (Campbell et al., 2021; Chapman et al., 2014; Rowling et al., 2020). Crucially, heterogeneity in phenotype markers is also found in circulating tumor cells (CTCs) from patients with melanoma (Khoja et al., 2014).

CTCs are the key link between the primary tumor and distant metastases, and the adaptation of CTCs to the bloodstream environment may provide therapeutic opportunities to target metastasis. However, owing to the challenges associated with their isolation and long-term maintenance, information on CTCs functional properties remains limited.
RESULTS
Nonadherent melanoma cells display cell-autonomous characteristics of CTCs

We analyzed melanoma cells cultured in suspension in standard high glucose (25 mM) medium and, considering the average physiological blood glucose concentration (90–110 mg/dl), also in the presence of 5 mM (90 mg/dl) glucose. In addition, we included different melanoma cell phenotypes: differentiated 501mel (melanocytic phenotype) cells and undifferentiated WM266-4 (invasive phenotype) cells (Smith et al., 2017).

Detached melanoma cells were present as single cells or small cell clusters (shown are 501mel cells, Figure 1a) and exhibited an altered cell cycle progression (Figure 1b and Supplementary Figure S1a). In line with our previous findings (Ferguson et al., 2017), in adhered cells, glucose restriction to 5 mM decreased cell numbers in S-phase, with more cells present in G1, but this change was generally not seen in cells in suspension (Supplementary Figure S1a).

Over a period of 72 hours, 5–12% of cells in suspension were represented in the sub-G1 fraction (Figure 1c). Glucose restriction led to a slight increase in WM266-4 cells but had no significant impact on cell death in 501mel cells. After the initial induction of anoikis at 24–48 hours, no further increase in cell death occurred at 72 hours, suggesting that the cells were adapting to the suspension conditions.

Because we wanted to use suspension cells to identify the CTC functions relevant to metastasis, we tested the metastatic capacity of detached 501mel cells after tail-vein injection. Thirty minutes after injection (day 0), cells accumulated in the lungs (Figure 1d). After 7 days, although still noticeable throughout the mice, the cells had visibly homed to the liver (Figure 1d). Ex vivo analysis on day 28 revealed that the cells had colonized the liver and, to a lesser degree, the lungs (Figure 1e and f).

Principal component analysis after RNA sequencing of 501mel and WM266-4 cells showed that adhesion conditions affected cell identity more than glucose concentrations (Supplementary Figure S1b). This was also seen in both cell lines by hierarchical clustering analysis (Figure 1g and Supplementary Figure S1c). Genes upregulated in suspension were enriched in Gene Ontology terms linked to cell cycle arrest; autophagy, a process that can provide anoikis resistance (Fung et al., 2008); catabolic process; and fatty acid beta-oxidation (FAO) (Figure 1g). Genes downregulated in suspension were enriched for cholesterol biosynthetic process and lipid or acyl-CoA metabolic processes (Figure 1g). Similar results were found in WM266-4 cells (Supplementary Figure S1c).

Gene set enrichment analysis for cancer hallmarks identified epithelial–mesenchymal transition and coagulation enriched in 501mel suspension cells cultured in 5 or 25 mM glucose (Figure 1h and Supplementary Figure S1d), but most importantly, the same enrichment was seen in a dataset from CTCs (Figure 1i), which we had previously isolated from 501mel tumor-bearing mice (Rowling et al., 2020). Also, genes for TNFα- or IL-5–signal transducer and activator of transcription 5 signaling generally activated by cytokines in the blood were found enriched in 501mel CTCs (Figure 1i). This was however not seen in suspension cells (Figure 1h), suggesting that these rather activate cell-autonomous processes that might occur in CTCs.

Fatty acid transport and beta-oxidation are upregulated in 501mel CTCs and correlate with faster progression and poor patient survival

Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of detached 501mel cells showed that independent of glucose concentration, lack of adhesion induced a general rewiring of lipid metabolism. Suspension cells switched toward fatty acid (FA) catabolism, downregulating lipid biosynthetic processes but upregulating FAO (Figure 2a and b). The latter was reflected in the upregulation of genes involved in peroxisomal as well as mitochondrial FAO (Figure 2c). On the other hand, the key regulators of FA synthesis and storage such as stearoyl-CoA desaturase or FA synthase were downregulated (Figure 2c). Suspension cells also upregulated the intracellular FA transport machinery, including regulators of mitochondrial membrane (CPT1A, CPT1C, CPT2, and SLC25A20) and peroxisomal membrane transport (ABCD1, ABCD3) as well as carnitine O-octanoyltransferase (CROT) and carnitine acetyltransferase (CRAT), crucial regulators of FA shuttle between peroxisomes and mitochondria (Figure 2c). Similar results were obtained when analyzing the transcriptome of detached WM266-4 cells (Supplementary Figure S2a). Together this suggests that the upregulation of the FAO program is a direct response to a loss of adhesion, independent of melanoma phenotype and glucose availability.

Many of the genes whose expression was altered in suspension cells were also differentially expressed in 501mel CTCs, with CPT1C, CROT, CRAT, SC25A20, and CPT2 among the highest expressed genes (Figure 2d). Comparable with suspension cells, there was an upregulation of FA transporter and FAO genes and a downregulation of FA synthesis regulators (Figure 2d).
Figure 1. Cell-autonomous characteristics in nonadherent melanoma cells. (a) Representative image of 501mel cells in ADH or SUSP conditions. Bar = 50 μm. (b) Cell cycle profiles of 501mel cells cultured for 24 or 72 hours in ADH or SUSP condition in the presence of either 25 mM or 5 mM glucose. (c) Quantification of 501mel and WM266-4 cells detectable in sub-G1 over 72 hours. (d) Representative bioluminescent imaging of Rag2/Il2rg−/− mice 30 min (day 0) or 7 days after injection with 501mel-GFP/luciferase cells, cultured in SUSP at 5 mM glucose. (e) Ex vivo bioluminescence quantification of 501mel tumor burden in
Interrogating The Cancer Genome Atlas melanoma database revealed that the expression of FA transporters and FAO enzymes upregulated in suspension cells and in 501mel CTCs significantly correlates with lower progression-free survival (median survival = 28.08 vs. 45.8 months and 25.18 vs. 42.41 months, respectively) and poorer survival (median survival = 66.7 vs. 111.1 months and 64.44 vs. 131.51 months, respectively) (Figure 2e and f and Supplementary Figure S2b and c).
The carnitine transferases CROT and CRAT control anoikis in melanoma cells

To further corroborate our findings, we analyzed three additional melanoma cell lines: WM9 (melanocytic), WM98-1 (invasive), and MV3 (invasive). Independent of phenotype, CPT1A and CPT2 were significantly upregulated in suspension, whereas SLC25A20 expression was variable, and CPT1C was downregulated (Figure 3a). Apart from in WM266-4 cells, CROT and CRAT as well as the FAO enzymes EHHADH and TFB were also upregulated in suspension (Figure 3b). The upregulation of FAO transporters and enzymes was detectable at 24 hours (Figure 3a and b) and was still seen in cells cultured in suspension for 72 hours (Supplementary Figure S3a).

CROT, CRAT, and SLC25A20 (also CACT) knockdown using small interfering RNA (siRNA) pools significantly reduced the capacity of 501mel cells to survive in suspension (Figure 3c). Using individual siRNAs, we confirmed that CROT or CRAT knockdown reduced the propagation of 501mel suspension cells (Figure 3d and Supplementary Figure S3b) but that the knockdown of SLC25A20 had no significant effect (not shown). CROT or CRAT knockdown in adhered 501mel cells did not significantly impact cell number (Figure 3d), emphasizing the relevance of these carnitine transferases for the propagation of detached cells. Indeed, in suspension cells, CROT or CRAT knockdown over a period of 72 hours induced increased levels of cleaved caspase-3, but this was not seen in adhered cells (Figure 3e). A similar situation was observed with three other melanoma cell lines, where CROT or CRAT knockdown significantly reduced the propagation of cells in suspension, but again, no effect on cell number was seen in adhered cells (Figure 3f and g and Supplementary Figure S3c).

CROT and CRAT contribute to experimental metastasis of melanoma

We next assessed whether CROT and CRAT are playing a role in the metastatic process in vivo. Transient knockdown of CROT or CRAT in 501mel-luciferase cells injected into the tail-vein of immunodeficient mice (Figure 4a and Supplementary Figure S4a) resulted in a delay in metastasis (Figure 4b and c). On day 28, the whole-body signal of mice injected with CRAT-knockdown cells was significantly reduced (Figure 4b). A similar trend was observed in mice treated with CROT-targeted siRNA (Figure 4b and c), which did however not reach significance. The ex vivo analysis on day 28 of various organs revealed a reduction of light units in the livers of mice treated with CROT-targeted siRNA and CRAT-targeted siRNA, whereas signals in other organs apart from the lungs were considerably weaker (Supplementary Figure S4b). The signal reduction detectable in the liver (Figure 4d) remained significant when values were normalized to whole-body signals from the day of injection, whereas signals in the lungs did not reach significance (Figure 4e).

Clinical relevance for our findings is seen in The Cancer Genome Atlas melanoma cohort, where higher CRAT expression significantly correlates with progression within 2 years after diagnosis, and CROT and CRAT expression is significantly higher in patients surviving less than 2 years (Figure 4f and g and Supplementary Figure S4c–h).

Pharmacological inhibition of FAO suppresses the growth of nonadherent melanoma cells

CROT and CRAT, which were amongst the highest upregulated genes in 501mel CTCs (Figure 2d), are carnitine transferases (Houten et al., 2020) that play a central role in controlling the delivery of medium-chain FA (MCF) from peroxisomes to mitochondria (Figure 5a). To assess whether the effect of CROT or CRAT knockdown on cell numbers is due to the limitation of MCF, we depleted CROT or CRAT by RNA interference and determined suspension cell survival with or without supplementation of the MCFs octanoyl acid or decanoyl acid. Both MCFs could not only rescue the CROT or CRAT knockdown, but the addition of octanoyl acid and decanoyl acid even enhanced the propagation of suspension cells (Figure 5b), indicating metabolic rewiring in the absence of CROT or CRAT.

Our data suggest that regulating FA delivery of MCF, derived from FAO in peroxisomes, is relevant for the survival of CTCs, possibly because MCF fuels FAO in mitochondria. We therefore wished to further assess the relevance of FAO in peroxisomes and mitochondria for suspension cell survival. In the absence of specific CROT or CRAT inhibitors, we opted for two Food and Drug Administration–approved drugs (Figure 5a): thioridazine, which has been shown to target peroxisome-specific beta-oxidation (Leighton et al., 1984; Van den Branden and Roels, 1985), and ranolazine, which inhibits acetyl-CoA production from FAs in mitochondria (McCormack et al., 1998).

Reflecting the relevance of CROT and CRAT for peroxisome function, thioridazine very effectively suppressed 501mel cell propagation (Figure 5c). Supporting the importance of mitochondrial FAO, ranolazine also significantly decreased the growth of 501mel cells (Figure 5c). Importantly, we observed similar effects in five other melanoma cell lines (Figure 5d and e).

The pan-caspase inhibitor Z-VAD-FMK could effectively rescue the ranolazine-induced suppression of 501mel cell propagation, but this was much weaker for thioridazine (Figure 5f), implying additional mechanisms. Of note, FAO can also protect cells from ferroptosis because it consumes FAs and thus reduces the rate of lipid peroxidation. Indeed, two ferroptosis inhibitors, ferrostatin and liproxstatin, effectively increased cell propagation in the presence of thioridazine as well as ranolazine (Figure 5g). These data indicate that FAO is supporting the survival of detached melanoma cells, not only by suppressing apoptosis but also by limiting ferroptosis.

Inhibition of FAO impacts the metastatic spread of melanoma cells

We next assessed the role of FAO in organ colonization in vivo using 501mel cells. Before injection, suspension cells were pretreated in vitro with thioridazine or ranolazine, and the treatment continued in vivo for 7 days (Figure 6a) because 501mel cells had visibly homed to the liver during this time (Figure 1d). Whole-body luminescence analysis revealed that ranolazine and thioridazine significantly reduced tumor burden during the 7 days of treatment, and the effect was still
Figure 3. CROT and CRAT are required for the survival of nonadherent melanoma cells. (a, b) qPCR analysis of the indicated genes in the respective melanoma cells. Fold expression in cells grown in SUSP for 24 hours is indicated. The expression of cells grown in adhesion was set at 1. (c) Clonogenic survival analysis after replating 501mel cells grown for 72 hours in SUSP after a 48-hour knockdown of the indicated FAO regulators. Cells treated with control siRNA have been set at 100%. (d) Clonogenic survival analysis after replating 501mel cells grown in SUSP after knockdown of CROT or CRAT with three individual siRNAs. As a control, 501mel cells were cultured in adhesion conditions after the knockdown of CROT or CRAT with three individual siRNAs and quantified. Cells treated with control siRNA have been set at 1. (e) Western blot for cleaved caspase-3 in 501mel cells treated with control-, CROT-, or CRAT-specific siRNA and cultured in SUSP or adhesion for 72 hours. Adherent cells treated with 1 μM staurosporine were used as cleaved caspase-3–positive control. ERK2 served as the loading control. (f, g) Analysis as in (d) in the indicated cell lines cultured in (f) SUSP or (g) adhesion using siRNA#6 for CRAT or CROT, respectively. Data show the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001 with one-way ANOVA and Student’s t-test. ADH, adhesion; con, control; CRAT, carnitine acetyltransferase; CROT, carnitine O-octanoyltransferase; d, day; ERK2, extracellular signal–regulated kinase 2; FAO, fatty acid beta-oxidation; h, hour; ns, nonsignificant; siCRAT, carnitine acetyltransferase–targeted small interfering RNA; siCROT, carnitine O-octanoyltransferase–targeted small interfering RNA; siRNA, small interfering RNA; SUSP, suspension.
significant on day 14 (Figure 6b), indicating that the FAO inhibitors had impacted the initial establishment of metastasis. Nevertheless, after 21 days, although the trend of suppression was still visible, this did not reach significance (Figure 6b), suggesting that the effect of the drugs had worn off and that they did not produce inhibitory effects strong enough to be detectable in the whole mouse. After 28 days, mice were killed, and ex vivo analysis of liver and lung colonization was performed. Signals were strongest in the livers (Figure 6c), and although no significant change was detectable in the lungs, both ranolazine and thioridazine significantly reduced tumor load in the liver (Figure 6d).

**DISCUSSION**

Although the molecular mechanisms of melanoma migration and invasion have been studied in detail (Arozarena and Wellbrock, 2017; Ju et al., 2018), how melanoma cells survive under detached conditions during metastatic dissemination is less defined. We identified a functional link between FA catabolism, anoikis resistance, and metastatic potential of melanoma cells.

Similar to most CTCs (Tasdogan et al., 2021), melanoma CTCs exhibit elevated ROS levels compared with tumors, and antioxidants increase melanoma metastasis (Le Gal et al., 2015; Piskounova et al., 2015). Thus, the elimination of ROS appears crucial to succeed in metastasis, and a process that can reduce the insult of ROS is autophagy. Autophagy, which removes damaged mitochondria as the primary source of intracellular ROS, is known to counteract anoikis (Fung et al., 2008; Kongara and Karantza, 2012). Both 501mel and WM266-4 had significantly upregulated autophagy when cultured in suspension, which hence would enable...
them to modulate cellular ROS levels and contribute to survival.

Upregulation of autophagy can meet another important demand; during autophagy, engulfed damaged organelles are degraded, and this provides the cell with nutrients necessary for cell metabolism during cellular stress (Hernandez and Perera, 2022). Indeed, in leukemia cells, autophagy can regulate FA availability for FAO and consequently oxidative phosphorylation (Bosc et al., 2020). Importantly, activating FAO can also counteract excessive ROS because it produces the reducing equivalents nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide as well as flavin adenine dinucleotide.

Figure 5. FAO inhibitors suppress the growth of nonadherent melanoma cells. (a) Schematic of FAO and acyl-carnitine shuttle. Peroxisomes catabolize VLFAs and BCFAs to MCFAs by beta-oxidation; the transport of these MCFAs in form of acyl-carnitines to mitochondria is regulated by CROT and CRAT. In the absence of CROT or CRAT, the energy derived from VLFAs and BCFAs cannot be utilized. The action of FAO inhibitors is indicated. (b) Clonogenic survival analysis of 501mel cells grown for 72 hours in SUSP after knockdown of Crat or Crot in the presence or absence of the MCFAs OcA or DecA. Cells treated with control siRNA have been set 1. (c) Clonogenic survival analysis of 501mel cells grown for 72 hours in SUSP in the presence of the indicated FAO inhibitors. Control cells treated with DMSO have been set 1. Example images of the treated cells are shown. (d, e) Clonogenic survival analysis of the indicated cell lines grown for 72 hours in SUSP in the presence of (d) thioridazine or (e) ranolazine. (f) Clonogenic survival analysis of 501mel cells in the presence of the indicated FAO inhibitors and in the presence or absence of 50 μM Z-VAD-FMK. (g) Clonogenic survival analysis of 501mel cells in the presence of the indicated FAO inhibitors and in the presence or absence of either 1 μM ferro or 200 nM liproxstatin. Data show the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001 with one-way ANOVA. BCFA, branched-chain fatty acid; CRAT, carnitine acetyltransferase; CROT, carnitine O-octanoyltransferase; DecA, decanoyl acid; FAO, fatty acid beta-oxidation; ferro, ferrostatin-1; LFA, long-chain fatty acid; lipro, liproxstatin-1; MCFAs, medium-chain fatty acid; OcA, octanoyl acid; siCRAT, carnitine acetyltransferase–small interfering RNA; CROT, carnitine O-octanoyltransferase–small interfering RNA; siRNA, small interfering RNA; SUSP, suspension; VLFA, very-long-chain fatty acid.
FAO has been previously connected to anoikis and metastasis, with CPT1A as a key player, in a colorectal cancer model, in which blocking FAO led to ROS accumulation (Wang et al., 2018). Likewise, CD36 is a marker for metastasis-initiating cells that express higher levels of FAO enzymes, and a high-fat diet promotes CD36-positive initiated metastasis (Pascual et al., 2017). We found that CPT1A, CD36, and FAO enzymes were upregulated in detached melanoma cells, and intriguingly, this was independent of their phenotype with respect to differentiated melanocytic or undifferentiated invasive.

Some FA metabolism regulators have been linked to melanoma CTCs and their phenotype. For instance, PGC1α (PPARGC1A), which acts as a cofactor in the induction of FAO gene expression, is highly expressed in the melanocytic phenotype (Vazquez et al., 2013), and low PGC1α expression was detected in melanoma CTCs and correlated with increased metastasis (Luo et al., 2016). However, metastasis induced by PGC1α-low cells was linked to a mechanism independent of PGC1α’s role in metabolism.

Single-cell analysis of CTCs isolated from patients with melanoma identified SREBP2 (SREBF2), the master regulator of cholesterol homeostasis, as an important factor in CTC survival, where it induced the expression of transferrin, which could counteract ROS-induced ferroptosis (Hong et al., 2021). Again, the mechanism of SREBP2-mediated CTC survival was not related to a particular metabolic state. Furthermore, the SREBP2 transcriptional program was predominantly expressed in a population of CTCs that shared characteristics with both the melanocytic and invasive phenotypes. Thus, the melanoma differentiation phenotypes might not accurately describe metabolic phenotypes in CTCs. This is in line with our findings that FAO upregulation did not correlate with a particular melanoma differentiation phenotype, and in fact, in both phenotypes, the strongest induced gene in detached cells was the same, medium-chain

Figure 6. FAO inhibitors suppress melanoma metastasis. (a) Experimental setup for the in vivo experiment. Before injection suspension, cells were pretreated in vitro with a vehicle or 100 μM RANO or 5 μM THIO for 3 h. Treatment was continued in vivo with a vehicle, RANO (50 mg/kg/day), or THIO (10 mg/kg, every 2 days) for 7 days after injection, after which treatment was discontinued. On day 28, ex vivo analysis of individual organs was performed. (b) Full-body in vivo bioluminescence quantification of 501mel tumor burden over 21 days. Signals, normalized to day 0, from six (VEH control, RANO) or five (THIO) treated individual mice are shown. (c) Images of ex vivo bioluminescence in livers isolated from RANO-treated and THIO-treated mice on day 28. (d) Ex vivo bioluminescence quantification of 501mel tumor burden in the indicated organs. Graphs show the signal of organs from six (VEH control, RANO) or five (THIO) treated individual mice. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 with one-way ANOVA. d, day; FAO, fatty acid beta-oxidation; h, hour; luc, luciferase; RANO, ranolazine; THIO, thioridazine; VEH, vehicle.
acetyl-CoA synthetase 4 (ACSM4). ACSM4 is a predicted mitochondrial medium-chain acetyl-CoA synthetase (Watkins et al., 2007). ACSM activate free FAs to generate acetyl-CoA, but the particular role of ACSM4 in FA metabolism is so far unknown. Potentially, ACSM4 could enable the activation of free FA released through lipolysis.

As mentioned earlier, FAO is required for metastasis (Pascual et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018), and we find that thioridazine and ranolazine reduced the prometastatic activity of detached melanoma cells. Thioridazine, a first-generation antipsychotic drug, has other targets such as dopamine receptors and the KCNH2 potassium channel (Feinberg et al., 2022), but in melanoma, acting as an inhibitor of peroxisomal FAO (Leighton et al., 1984; Van den Branden and Roels, 1985), it could suppress BRAF inhibitor--induced drug tolerance (Shen et al., 2020). Ranolazine on the other hand inhibits acetyl-CoA production from FAs in mitochondria (McCormack et al., 1998).

The cross-talk between peroxisomes and mitochondria is controlled by the carnitine-shuttle enzymes CROT and CRAT, both of which are peroxisomal enzymes (Houten et al., 2020). Nevertheless, depending on alternative splicing, CRAT can also be expressed in mitochondria, and for instance, in skeletal muscle cells, mitochondrial CRAT can act as a distinct metabolic rheostat (Muotto et al., 2012; Seiler et al., 2014). However, it appears that in melanoma cells, CROT and CRAT assume very similar and closely linked functions in FA metabolism—controlled survival because we observed that cell death induced by either carnitine transferase could be rescued by MCFAs. Moreover, a double knockdown of CROT and CRAT did not increase the impact on survival (not shown).

In summary, our study highlights the relevance of the peroxisomal FA catabolism machinery in metastatic spread, and we reveal CROT and CRAT as important players in melanoma progression and metastasis. Although these carnitine transferases have so far mainly been studied in the context of insulin resistance and cardiac metabolism, our study identifies acetyl-carnitine shuttle as a potential therapeutic target in metastatic melanoma.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture, survival, and FACS analysis**

Cell lines (501mel, WM266-4, A375, WM9, WM98-1 [all BRAF sequence variant, and MV3 [NRAS mutated]) were grown in DMEM/25 mM glucose, and MV3 were grown in DMEM/5 mM glucose for 72 hours before being replated; after 72 hours, they were analyzed using crystal violet as described (Ferguson et al., 2017).

In vitro treatments (drugs and siRNA sequences are provided in Supplementary Materials and Methods), cells were cultured in suspension in DMEM/5 mM glucose for 72 hours before being replated; after 72 hours, they were analyzed using crystal violet as described (Aldaz et al., 2021). For FACS analysis, cells were cultured in suspension in the presence of 25 or 5 mM glucose. At individual time points, cells were harvested, washed, and processed as described (Ferguson et al., 2017).

**RNA sequencing and bioinformatics analysis**

RNA was isolated from cells either grown in adherent or suspension conditions for 24 hours using an RNeasy Mini Kit (74104, Qiagen, Barcelona, Spain). The sequencing run was performed on an Illumina HiSeq1500, and data were processed as described (Aldaz et al., 2021). Ranked genes by log fold change were analyzed by gene set enrichment analysis using hallmark gene set (7.1) obtained from the Molecular Signatures Database (Liberzon et al., 2015) and the gene set enrichment analysis function ClusterProfiler (3.16.1) (Yu et al., 2012).

**Experimental metastasis assays**

After treatments (siRNA, drugs), viable 501mel cells stably expressing a luciferase/GFP reporter (Creusot et al., 2008) were injected into the tail vein of male Rag2<−/−>; Il2Rγ<−/−> in-house bred mice (Rag2tm1.1FlvIl2rtm1.1Flv/J, The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, RRID:IMSR_JAX:014593). For imaging, mice were injected in the retro-orbital plexus with 50 μl of 15 mg/ml D-Luciferin, and photon flux was monitored using a PhotonISAGER imaging system and analyzed using M3Vision software (Biospace Lab, Lesles-la-Vallée, France). All experiments in mice were performed in concordance with the Institutional Animal Care Committee of the University of Navarra (Pamplona, Spain) under protocols R-008c-18GN and R-057-18GN approved by the regional Government of Navarra in Spain.

**qPCR and Western blotting**

RNA extraction, quantitative RT-PCR, and western blotting followed standard protocols and were performed as described (Rowling et al., 2020; Sanz et al., 2000). Primary antibodies were cleaved caspase-3 (SA1E; number 9664, Cell Signaling Technologies, Boston, MA), extracellular signal–regulated kinase 2 (D-2; number sc-1647, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), CROT (NBP1-85501), and CRAT (NBP1-86616, Novus Biologicals, Centennial, CO).

**Data Analysis and Statistics**

GraphPad Prism, version 7.00, for Mac operating system (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) was used for analysis. One-way ANOVA or Student’s t-test was used for bar graph analyses, and log-rank test was used for Kaplan–Meier survival analyses. Data represent the results for assays performed from at least three replicates, and values are presented as the mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.
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SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL AND METHODS

Cell lines, culture, and reagents

The 501mel cells were a gift from Steve Rosenberg (National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD), WM266-4 (BRAF mutant) and A375 (BRAF mutant) cells were bought from ATCC (Manassas, VA), WM9 (BRAF mutant), and WM98-1 (BRAF mutant) and MV3 (NRAS mutant) cells were a gift from Adam Hurlstone (University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom). All cell lines have been authenticated in 2021. To culture cells in suspension, six-well plates were coated with 1 ml of a sterile solution of 2% agar in water. Once the agar had solidified, half a million cells (125,000 cells/ml) in DMEM, 1% fetal calf serum, and 5 mM glucose were plated per well and cultured for up to 3 days. Treatments lasting 72 hours were initiated 5 hours after plating. At the end of any treatment, cells were collected in 15 ml tubes, spun for 5 minutes at 1,500 r.p.m., and then subjected to the respective method of analysis. For all experiments, cells defrosted from a single vial were used for no more than 1 month or six passages. Thioridazine (T9025, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO), ranolazine, Z-VAD-FMK, liproxstatin-1, and ferrostatin-1 were purchased from ChemExpress, Gijon, Spain), octanoic and decanoic acid (HY-17401, HY-16658B, HY-12726, and HY-100579, Med-Research, Z-VAD-FMK, liproxstatin-1, and ferrostatin-1 were purchased from ChemExpress, Gijon, Spain), octanoic and decanoic acid (W279900 and W236403, Merck, Madrid, Spain) were reconstituted in DMSO.

Mice

We used Rag2−/−, Il2Rγ−/− in-house bred mice (Rag2tm1.1Flvrtm1.1Flv), The Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME, RRID:IMSR_JAX:014593). All experiments in mice were performed following Animal Research: Reporting of In Vivo Experiments guidelines and in concordance with the Institutional Animal Care Committee of the University of Navarra (Pamplona, Spain) under the protocol numbers R-008c-18GN and R-010494-00). Sequences for individual small interfering RNAs were scrambled control, AAACCGUCAUUCUACC CGGGUU; siRNA targeting SLC25A20#6, GAAAGCAAGUAACUGGUA; siRNA targeting SLC25A20#7, GAAACUGGCAAGCACUAU; siRNA targeting SLC25A20#8; GCAUGAAGAUGUCUUAAAU; siRNA targeting SLC25A20#9, CAACUGGCGUGGGCAU; siRNA targeting CROT#6, GAUAUCACUUCUGGCUA; siRNA targeting CROT#7; GAUCACUUCUGGCAUAU; siRNA targeting CROT#8, GAUCACUUCUGGCAUAU; siRNA targeting CRAT#6, ACAUACCCCUGAGCAC; siRNA targeting CRAT#7, GAUCACUUCUGGCAUAU; and siRNA targeting CRAT#8, CCAAGAAGCUGGGGUCA.

qRT-PCR and primers

For qRT-PCR experiments, total RNA was extracted and analyzed as described previously (Aldaz et al., 2021). Primer sequences were as follows: ABCD1 forward, 5′-CACCC-GAGGCATGTTCTAC and ABCD1 reverse, 5′-ACACATAG CCTCCCAAACCTCC; ABCD3 forward, 5′-ACGGGTATTTCAT GGAAACTTGGA and ABCD3 reverse, 5′-GTC and CPT1A reverse, 5′-ACATCGGCGGTGTA GTAGAGAT; CPT1C forward, 5′-CAGTTGGGTTCTCCTT- CAGT and CPT1C reverse, 5′-AGGCCAGCACTCTTT- GATCTTC; CPT2 forward, 5′-AAAGAACGCAAATGGG CCAG and CPT2 reverse, 5′-ATGCCAGCTTTCAGGAGC; CRAT forward, 5′-GGAAGACGGGAAGACTGCTG and CRAT reverse, 5′-TGAATGGGCAAGAAATCAGC; CROT forward, 5′-CCGCCAGCTTCTACAGAAC and CROT reverse, 5′-CTTAGCCCTATCGAGATTCG; EHHAHD forward, 5′-ATGGATGCCACGAGAG and EHHAHD reverse, 5′- TGCTAAAATACGTCTTCCTGAGGT; ETFB forward, 5′-TCC TGCCTGGCGCATCCCG and ETFB reverse, 5′-CGGTAC- GAATCGTCTCCTCAGGT; and SLC25A20 forward, 5′-CAGC- CAGCCCAAACCATCA and SLC25A20 reverse, 5′-GTGC TCTGCAGTCCGACCTTG.

RNA interference and small interfering RNA sequences

For RNA interference experiments, cells were transfected with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) using Lipofectamine Transfection Reagent (18324020, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, United Kingdom) in adherent conditions. Forty-eight hours later, cells were counted and cultured in suspension for 72 hours. Then, cells were replated and analyzed after 72 hours as described (Aldaz et al., 2021). Human ON-TARGETplus SMARTpools (Horizon Discovery, Waterbeach, United Kingdom) were used were ABCD1-targeted siRNA (number L-009605-00), ABCD3-targeted siRNA (number L-009909-00), ACOX1-targeted siRNA (number L-005074-00), CPT1A-targeted siRNA (number L-009749-00), CRAT-targeted siRNA (number L-009524-00), CROT-targeted siRNA (number L-009230-00), EHHAHD-targeted siRNA (number L-009822-00), ETFB-targeted siRNA (number L-010494-00), and SLC25A20-targeted siRNA (number L-007480-00). Sequences for individual small interfering RNAs were scrambled control, AAACCGUCAUUCUACC CGGGUU; siRNA targeting SLC25A20#6, GAAAGCAAGUAACUGGUA; siRNA targeting SLC25A20#7, GAAACUGGCAAGCACUAU; siRNA targeting SLC25A20#8; GCAUGAAGAUGUCUUAAAU; siRNA targeting SLC25A20#9, CAACUGGCGUGGGCAU; siRNA targeting CROT#6, GAUAUCACUUCUGGCUA; siRNA targeting CROT#7; GAUCACUUCUGGCAUAU; siRNA targeting CRAT#6, ACAUACCCCUGAGCAC; siRNA targeting CRAT#7, GAUCACUUCUGGCAUAU; and siRNA targeting CRAT#8, CCAAGAAGCUGGGGUCA.
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Supplementary Figure S1. Cell-autonomous characteristics in nonadherent melanoma cells. (a) Cell cycle profiles of 501mel and WM266-4 cells grown in ADH or SUSP as indicated. (b) Principal component analysis of 501mel and WM266-4 cells cultured in ADH or SUSP in the presence of 25 or 5 mM glucose for 24 hours. (c) Cluster analysis of the transcriptomic profile of WM266-4 cells cultured as indicated. (d) GSEA plots for MSigDB hallmarks (Liberzon et al., 2015) significantly different in 501mel SUSP cells from that in ADH cells grown in the presence of 25 mM glucose. ADH, adhesion; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; h, hour; MSigDB, Molecular Signatures Database; PC, principal component; SUSP, suspension.
Supplementary Figure S2. FAO regulator upregulation correlates with poorer survival. (a) Heatmap of upregulated and downregulated genes in WM266-4 cells cultured as indicated. (b, c) Kaplan-Meier analysis of the TCGA melanoma patient cohort (Cancer Genome Atlas Network, 2015). Differences in survival for patients whose tumors express high or low levels of (b) CPT1C, SLC25A20, CPT2, CPT1A, SLC27A3, and CD36 or of (c) CROT, CRAT, ACACB, ACA2, ECH1, ACADS, ACOT7, ACOT9, ECHS1, and HSD17B10 are shown. Respective genes were analyzed for mRNA expression (z-score = 2.0) in cBioportal, and survival data were extracted and analyzed in GraphPad Prism. CI, confidence interval; CRAT, carnitine acetyltransferase; CROT, carnitine O-octanoyltransferase; FA, fatty acid; FAO, fatty acid beta-oxidation; HR, hazard ratio; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.
Supplementary Figure S3. CROT and CRAT in melanoma cells. (a) qRT-PCR analysis of the indicated genes in 501mel cells grown in suspension for 48 or 72 hours. The expression of cells grown in adhesion was set to 1. (b) qRT-PCR analysis for CROT or CRAT expression in 501mel cells 48 hours after CROT or CRAT RNAi. Expression in cells treated with control siRNA was set 1. (c) qRT-PCR analysis for CROT or CRAT expression in the indicated cell lines after CROT or CRAT RNAi. ADH, adhesion; con, control; CRAT, carnitine acetyltransferase; CROT, carnitine O-octanoyltransferase; h, hour; RNAi, RNA interference; siRNA, small interfering RNA; SUSP, suspension.
Supplementary Figure S4. CROT and CRAT correlation with melanoma metastasis, progression, and survival. This figure is related to Figure 4. (a) Western blot for CROT and CRAT 66 hours after RNAi in 501mel-GFP cells. (b) Ex vivo bioluminescence quantification of 501mel tumor burden in the indicated organs. Graphs show the mean ± SEM signal of organs from six (control, CRAT) or five (CROT) mice. **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001 with one-way ANOVA. (c–h) Kaplan–Meier analyses of the TCGA melanoma patient cohort (Cancer Genome Atlas, 2015) for (c, f) progression-free, (d, g) disease-specific, and (e, h) overall survival of patients whose tumors express high or low levels of CRAT or CROT, respectively. Respective genes were analyzed in cBioportal, and survival data were extracted and analyzed in GraphPad Prism. CI, confidence interval; con, control; CRAT, carnitine acetyltransferase; CROT, carnitine O-octanoyltransferase; HR, hazard ratio; RNAi, RNA interference; siCRAT, carnitine acetyltransferase–targeted small interfering RNA; siCROT, carnitine O-octanoyltransferase–targeted small interfering RNA; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.